NLD Fleet report 2016 | Vlootverslag 2016

Table of contents

Sun	ımary	of report—	4
1	Flee	ts.—	5
	1.1	Description of fleets.	5
	1.2	Link with fisheries.	5
	1.3	Development in fleet numbers	5
	1.4	Development in engine power (kW) and gross tonnage (GT)	6
	1.5	Development in capacity.	6
	1.6	Statement of compliance with entry/exit scheme and with level of reference.	6
	1.7	Other fleet-segments	7
2	Effo	rt reduction	8
	2.1	Statement of effort reduction schemes.	8
	2.2	Impact on fishing capacity of effort reduction schemes.	8
3	Flee	t management system	9
	3.1	Summary of weaknesses & strengths of fleet management system.	9
	3.2	Plans for improvement in fleet management system.	9
	3.3	Information on general level of compliance with fleet policy instruments.	9
	3.4	Information on changes of the adm. procedures relevant to fleet management.	9
4	Ecor	nomic and social indicators for the demersal and pelagic fleet segments	10
	4.1	Economic and social indicators	10
	4.2	Vessel Use Indicators	13
5	Biol	ogical sustainability indicators for the demersal & pelagic fleet segments	17
	5.1	Sustainable Harvest indicator	17
	5.2	Stock-at-risk indicator	20
Ann	ex 1: I	mportant fish species for the Dutch fleet	21
Ann	ex 2: S	Sustainable Harvest indicator	22
Ann	ex 3: S	Stock-at-risk indicator	23
Ann	ex 4: R	References	23

Colofon

Directoraat-generaal Agro en Natuur Directie Europees Landbouw- en Visserijbeleid en Voedselzekerheid Postbus 20401 NL-2500 EK Den Haag

Summary of report

The report deals with the year 2015.

The fleet for fisheries had 716 vessels with 247.661 kW and 110.276 GT. The fleet for aquacult. had 107 vessels with 55.860 kW and 16.766 GT.

Changes:

Fisheries: -2 vessels and kW -4,6% and GT -4,6%. Aquacult: +1 vessel and kW +1,0% and GT +1,3%.

Fleet management: by a system of governmental fishing licences.

Entry/exit and effort is managed on a national level.

Percentage used effort was 88,5 % (range: 52 - 91%).

The main fleet has four segments;

the species caught most (up to at least 80% of total volume) in 2014 were:

- <24m BT: North Sea Brown shrimp (80%);
- >24m BT: Plaice (60%), Sole (18%), Dab (4%);
- demersal TR: Plaice (32%), Striped red mullet (12%), Grey Gurnard (10%), Mackerel (6%), Whiting (6%), Cod (5%), Nephrops (5%), Dab (4%).
- Pelagic: Herring (31%), Blue whiting (23%), Mackerel (16%), Horse mackerel (12%).

Balance indicators

Economic and social indicators 2015	<24mBT	>24mBT	demersalTR	Pelagic	Total fleet
Economic:					
- Revenue over Break Even Reven	ue: 1,4	2,3	2,1	0,3	1,3
- Return on investment (%):	8,5	15,9	15,4	-14,4	5,4
Social:					
- Average Crew wage (euro/FTE):	55.900	79.800	85.300	89.400	71.400
- GVA (million euro):	39,5	85,1	24,5	27,0	184,2
- GVA per FTE (euro):	86,800	161.100	147.500	80.300	113.800
- GVA per active vessel (euro):	228.300	1.091.00	721.600	3.373.000	357.700

Inactive vessels:

<10m:42%, 10-12m:38%, 12-18m:44%, 18-24m:6%, 24-40m:27%, >40m:17%.

Sustainable Harvest indicator (weighted F/F_{max}):

Pelagic fleet:0,83; large beamtrawl:0,77; small beamtrawl:<0,6; other dermersal:<0,6. None of the fleet segments depends on stocks at risk.

Statement on balance of fleet capacity and fishing opportunity.

There is no significant imbalance between fleet capacity and fishing opportunities.

The economic, social and sustainable harvest indicators for the beam trawl and demersal fleet are quite positive, with no stocks exploited at high levels of biological risk.

The economic indicators of the pelagic fleet indicate that the fleet capacity is larger than opportunities, but because this fleet is vertically integrated in companies the calculated losses do not mean that the sector is unprofitable. The social indicators support this. The indicators for Sustainable Harvest and Stocks-at-Risk show that the pelagic fleet is not overfishing.

1 Fleets.

1.1 Description of fleets.

The main fleet (Mfl) is segmented in two parts: Mfl1 are the vessels that target species with individual catch quota and Mfl2 are the vessels that target species with no individual catch quota (e.g. North Sea shrimps). Aquaculture vessels harvest mussels and oysters.

1.2 Link with fisheries.

The Mfl1-segment mainly consists of beam trawlers, of which some also have a licence for shrimp fisheries. In addition, a group of vessels with TR-gear that focuses on demersal fishing for plaice, sea bass, mullet and gurnard. Within this segment there is also fisheries on Neophrops and squid.

Only one NL-vessel fished the whole year in non-EU waters: SCH-54 in NW Africa.

The Mfl2-segment mainly consists of cutters that fish shrimps and some that fish sea bass, mullet and gurnard. The Mfl2 segment is mostly fishing within the coastal zone. Only 21 of these vessels have a tonnage greater than 100 GT and an engine with an output of over 221 kW. About 50% of Mfl2 are vessels <18 m and 50% are 18-44 m.

The aquaculture vessels operate on mussel and oyster plots within the baselines and are therefore exempted from the entry/exit regime.

1.3 Development in fleet numbers

Table 1.1. Number of vessels at the end of the year.

	2015	2014		2013	2012
Main fleet	716	718		733	738
Mfl1	513		510	515	514
cutters	5	05	500	503	500
freezetrawlers		8	10	12	14
Mfl2	203		208	218	226
Aquaculture	107	106		106	104

1.4 Development in engine power (kW) and gross tonnage (GT)

	kW	kW	kW	GT	GT	GT
	31 Dec	+ or –	+ or -	31 Dec	+ or -	+ or -
	2015	2014	2014	2015	2014	2014
Main fleet	247.661	-18.978	-4,6%	110.276	-5.783	-4,6%
Aquaculture	55.860	+590	+1,0%	16.766	+220	+1,3%

1.5 Development in capacity.

The table below provides information about the development of the Dutch fleet in relation to article 22.7 of Regulation (EU) nr. 1380/2013 (CFP).

Table 1.4. Management of the entry-exit regime until 31-12-2015

	The Netherlands 2015	GT		kW	
1	Capacity of the fleet on 01/01/2003	GTfr	183.669	kWfr	418.521
2	Capacity level for the application of the entry-exit regime	GT03	184.797	kW03	421.243
3	Entries of vessels of more than 100 GT financed with public aid	GT100	0	kW10 0	0
4	Other entries or capacity increases (not included in 3 & 5)		212.228		453.579
5	Increases in tonnage GT for safety reasons	GTS	218		
6	Total entries (3 + 4 + 5)		212.446		453.579
7	Exits financed with public aid	GTa	19.126	kWa	70.507
8	Other exits (not included in 7)		119.813		211.355
9	Total exits (7 + 8)		138.939		281.862
10	Capacity of the fleet on 31/12/2015 (1+6-9)		110.157		246.804
11	Fleet ceiling on 31/12/2015 (2-0,35*3+5-7)	GTt	166.859	kWt	350.736
	Reference level on 31/12/2015 (1-0,35*2+3-4)		178.691		417.302

See also:

 $\underline{http://ec.europa.eu/fisheries/fleet/index.cfm?method=RES1.Stat\&country=NLD\&date_from=2003-01-01\&date_to=2015-01-01$

1.6 Statement of compliance with entry/exit scheme and with level of reference.

The system of fishing licenses has functioned satisfactorily and the actual capacity of the fleet has never been above the allowed fleet ceiling and reference level.

1.7 Other fleet-segments

The Netherlands has a national register of vessels that are active on the inland lake 'IJsselmeer'. On 31-12-2015 the register numbered 71 vessels. However, fishing on this lake is not regulated by licenses for vessels, but by licences for nets. Therefore the fleet is not in the EU fleet register.

The vessels of fishers that are active on other inland waters are not registered. Commercial fishing on those waters is regulated by licences for each of the specified areas of fishing waters.

2 Effort reduction

2.1 Statement of effort reduction schemes.

The Netherlands manages the available effort nationally. It is not managed at the level of individual vessels, because that would increase administrative burden and out of fear that it would start trade in effort. To get access to a gear category a vessel must be in possession of track records.

From 2011 there is a national Cod Avoidance Plan. Important part of this plan is a real time closure scheme in cooperation with the English Marine Management Organisation. Every month nine areas are closed and at least once a year two seasonal closures for a period of four months are in place. Part of this plan is the transfer of 6.3 million kW day BT2 for 2.1 million TR1 and TR2 kW days. With this transfer within the Cod Avoidance Plan, there is enough flexibility for the current fishing activities to keep the fleet economical effective.

2.2 Impact on fishing capacity of effort reduction schemes.

The table below summarises the final total effort uptake by the different gear groups in the Netherlands in 2015.

Effort group	Available effort after transfers*	Used kW days	Percentage used effort
TR1- Cod Avoid. Plan 100-120 mm	1.245.270	1.132.414	90,21
TR2- Cod Avoid. Plan 70-100 mm	1.932.441	1.753.950	90,76
TR3 - 16-32 mm	36.617	12.491	34,11
BT1 - >100mm	999.808	802.671	80,28
BT2 - 80-100 mm	21.854.242	19.512.592	89,29
GN - Gillnets	363.664	190.673	52.43

^{* 150.000} kW days from BT2 were transferred to Germany.

And 75.000 kW days from GN were transferred as 71.207 kW days to the TR1 group.

3 Fleet management system

The system of fishing licenses is used as fleet regulating system. Fishing licenses are not transferable property of the operator, but are linked to the vessel and are regulated by the government. The Dutch government manages the system of licenses with the self-built programs 'VIRIS'.

The Dutch authorities have implemented a restrictive policy regarding the provision of safety tonnage. Since 2003, in total 213 BT was allocated to safety tonnage.

Since 2008 decommissioning is no longer part of the Dutch fleet policy.

The licences are property of the national authorities. They are issued temporary to vessel-owners as a utility-permit for as long as the vessel-owner wants to utilise the vessel as a fishing vessel. When the vessel is sold to another fisherman, the licence has to be returned to the national authorities and is subsequently re-issued by the national authorities to the new owner of the vessel. If the vessel is being taken out of the fleet with public aid, the licence is taken in by the national authorities and scrapped indefinite.

If the vessel is being taken out of the fleet without public aid, the licence has to be returned to the national authorities. The former owner receives a sort of 'letter of preference'; i.e. for a period of 6 years the former owner has the preferential right to re-enter the fleet with a vessel of the same (or less) capacity. In that case the national authorities will renew the licence and grant the right to use it to the former owner of the vessel. However, the national authorities are allowed to refuse this when they have legitimate reasons. But the former owner of the vessel may bring that refuse to court for a decision whether his legitimate expectations prevail over the 'reasons' of the national authorities or not.

3.1 Summary of weaknesses & strengths of fleet management system.

Strength is that it provides vessel owners (more) possibilities to switch fishing techniques and so more flexible operations within the current legislation. Given the current economic situation in fisheries, this flexibility is necessary. The expectation is that more flexibility shall be needed with the coming landing obligation.

3.2 Plans for improvement in fleet management system.

The fleet management system functions satisfactorily. Small adjustments are necessary to be able to deal with the consequences of the landing obligation.

3.3 Information on general level of compliance with fleet policy instruments.

Fleet management was fully in compliance.

3.4 Information on changes of the administrative procedures relevant to fleet management.

In 2015 there were no changes in the administrative procedures.

4 Economic and social indicators for the Dutch demersal and pelagic fleet segments

There were 718 vessels in the Dutch fleet in 2015 (721 vessels in 2016), that were allowed to fish commercially in marine waters. From these vessels 515 were considered active based on logbook information. The so called active cutter fleet, which included all vessels that use active gears and obtain an total revenue of more than 50 kEUr consisted of 280 vessels and cumulated about 98% of the landings value. The other 231 vessels are mainly smaller vessels operating in small scale fisheries. The small scale fleet represents about 2% of the total value of landings. They are a heterogeneous group of vessels.

All the indicators in this chapter have been calculated using the formulas in *Guidelines for* analysis of the balance between fishing capacity and fishing opportunities according to Art. 22 of Regulation 1380/2013 of the European Parliament and the Council on the Common Fisheries Policy (COM(2014)545). The long term interest rate, used to calculate the Break Even Revenue, is based on the 5-years long term interest rate moving average (see below).

	2008	2010	2012	2013	2014	2015
5-yrs Long Term Interest Rate average (%)	3.9	3.6	2.7	2.3	1.8	1.3
Used Long Term Interest Rate years	2005-	2007-	2009-	2010-	2011-	2012-
	2009	2011	2013	2014	2015	2016

4.1 Economic and social indicators

The economic indicators of the **Dutch fleet** show a positive return of investment for the year 2014 and 2015 indicating that the fleet is viable in the long run. The years before 2014 an annual negative return of investment was shown. The negative return of investment and very low ratio of revenue over break-even revenue before 2014 are caused by the economic results of the pelagic fleet segment. The social indicators of the total fleet are positive with the average crew share above the Dutch average salary¹ and a gross value added (GVA) of more than 184 million euro in 2015.

Economic and social indicators total fleet*

	2008	2010	2012	2013	2014	2015
Economic indicators:						
Ratio revenue over Break_Even Revenue	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.2	1.3
Return On Investment (%)	-3.7	-4.9	-5.5	-3.8	3.3	5.4
Social Indicators:						
Average Crew wage (thousand euro/FTE)	52.2	48.3	55.9	58.6	63.5	71.4
Gross Value Added (million euro)	146.5	122.4	128.2	150.4	171.3	184.2
Gross Value Added per FTE (thousand euro)	77.8	67.5	74.4	86.3	102.1	113.8
Gross Value Added per vessel* (1.000 euro)	268.3	214.8	235.3	283.2	320.2	357.7

^{*} only active vessels are used here

Below the results for specific segments are discussed in more detail.

¹ Average Dutch wage is around 45 k€/year http://statline.cbs.nl/Statweb/publication/?DM=SLNL&PA=82577NED&D1=2-3,6,11&D2=0&D3=94-109&HDR=T&STB=G1,G2&VW=T

The fleet segment **beamtrawlers shorter than 24 meters** fish mainly for shrimps (almost 75% of their fishing revenue in 2015). The return of investment for the small beamtrawlers was high in 2012-2015 (because of high shrimp prices) but between 2008 and 2011 the value was negative. The ratio of current revenue over break-even revenue shows a similar pattern indicating a viable segment in the last years. During the same period, the average crew wage was higher than the Dutch minimum salary² and Dutch average wageⁱ. The GVA of the small beamtrawlers is positive, indicating that the fleet has a value for society. In addition, the GVA per FTE is higher than the average crew wage for the whole period, meaning that the labour costs are covered. Depreciation and opportunity cost of capital were also covered for the years 2012-2015.

Economic and social indicators beamtrawlers <24 metres*

	2008	2010	2012	2013	2014	2015
Economic indicators:						
Ratio revenue over Break_Even Revenue	1.0	0.7	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4
Return On Investment (%)	-1.1	-8.7	9.7	10.3	9.3	8.5
Social Indicators:						
Average Crew wage (thousand euro/FTE)	46.9	39.1	52.2	64.3	55.6	55.9
Gross Value Added (million euro)	31.0	19.2	33.2	43.3	41.7	39.5
Gross Value Added per FTE (thousand euro)	68.4	47.1	82.8	94.9	86.2	86.8
Gross Value Added per vessel* (1.000 euro)	184.3	111.5	198.6	265.5	245.1	228.3

^{*} only active vessels are used here

The **large beamtrawlers** fish mainly flatfish, sole and plaice representing almost 79% of the value of their landings in 2015 (76% in 2016). Over the period 2008-2012 the average return on investment was around or below zero and the fleet was obtaining revenues below or slightly above the break even revenue (5 years average of 0.9). Both indicators have reached positive values in the period 2013-2015. This was mainly due to the lower fuel costs and the transition to innovative pulse gears, but also the increased fish prices. The enormous increased return on investment in 2014 and 2015 and the fact that the current revenue is much higher than the break-even revenue indicates an economically very viable segment. The social indicators show that the average crew wage is higher than the average Dutch salaryⁱ and almost 80 thousand euro per FTE in 2015. The GVA of the large beam trawler is positive and contributes to 46% of the total GVA for the Dutch fleet.

Economic and social indicators beamtrawlers >24 metres*

	2008	2010	2012	2013	2014	2015
Economic indicators:						
Ratio revenue over Break_Even Revenue	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.8	2.3
Return On Investment (%)	-6.4	-3.0	0.7	3.3	12.0	15.9
Social Indicators:						
Average Crew wage (thousand euro/FTE)	46.0	54.0	58.7	62.0	68.7	79.8
Gross Value Added (million euro)	45.2	46.1	46.0	50.9	64.8	85.1
Gross Value Added per FTE (thousand euro)	73.2	79.5	90.3	98.5	130.8	161.1
Gross Value Added per vessel* (1.000 euro)	418.5	475.3	523.0	606.3	810.0	1091

^{*} only active vessels are used here

² Minimum Dutch salary is about 20 k€/year (gross) in 2015 https://www.salaris-informatie.nl/wettelijk-minimumloon

The **demersal trawl fleet segment** shows an average return of investment above zero and a revenue over break-even revenue above one over the last five years. In 2015, the return on investment was 15.4% and the ratio revenue over break even revenue was 2.1, both were well above the average values over the past eight years (0.9 and 1.1, respectively). The average crew wage was above average wage in the Netherlands in all years. The GVA of the demersal fleet is positive and contributes to around 13% of the total GVA for Dutch fleets.

Economic and social indicators demersal fishing fleet*

	2008	2010	2012	2013	2014	2015
Economic indicators:						
Ratio revenue over Break_Even Revenue	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.2	2.1
Return On Investment (%)	-2.3	-6.1	0.5	1.5	4.3	15.4
Social Indicators:						
Average Crew wage (thousand euro/FTE)	52.0	42.0	52.3	48.5	58.3	85.3
Gross Value Added (million euro)	11.2	9.7	13.4	10.8	14.7	24.5
Gross Value Added per FTE (thousand euro)	71.3	56.7	78.0	75.8	91.5	147.5
Gross Value Added per vessel* (1.000 euro)	319.4	277.7	372.1	432.9	446.0	721.6

^{*} only active vessels are used here

The **pelagic fleet** has sustained a calculated loss every year over the period 2008-2015 with negative gross profits. But because the pelagic fleet is vertically integrated in companies the calculated losses do not mean that the sector is unprofitable: the prices used to calculate revenue are "theoretical" prices provided by the fishing companies as the fish is not sold in auction but traded directly by the companies. However we do not take into account the revenue of final transformed product because their prices are unknown to us, but the revenue at "first sale". To reach break-even revenue the average price of fish landed by pelagic fleets would need to be 22% (2015) higher than the price used in our calculation.

The economic indicators show a negative return on investment (eight years average of -11.5) and very low ratio of revenue over break-even revenue (eight years average of 0.5) indicating that the fleet is economically non-viable. However, as mentioned above negative values for the return on investment and ratio of revenue over break-even revenue do not mean that the sector is unprofitable (vertically integrated in companies). The crew wage is higher than the average Dutch salaryⁱ and the GVA is positive and contributes to almost 15% of the total Dutch GVA.

Economic and social indicators pelagic fleet*

	2008	2010	2012	2013	2014	2015
Economic indicators:						
Ratio revenue over Break_Even Revenue	0.8	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.3
Return On Investment (%)	-3.1	-5.2	-18.3	-23.5	-5.9	-14.4
Social Indicators:						
Average Crew wage (thousand euro/FTE)	76.6	65.2	64.3	68.2	84.1	89.4
Gross Value Added (million euro)	50.3	42.3	27.1	38.3	49.1	27.0
Gross Value Added per FTE (thousand euro)	106.6	92.8	55.9	85.1	120.4	80.3
Gross Value Added per vessel* (1.000 euro)	3354	3255	1937	3192	4466	3373


^{*} only active vessels are used here

4.2 Vessel Use Indicators

Different fleets show different level of activity. The categories consisting of smaller vessels show the highest inactivity. The percentage of inactive vessels in the categories <10m, 10-12m and 12-18m were 42%, 38% and 44% respectively for the year 2015. The inactivity percentage of the categories <10m and 12-18m grew during time (between 5 and 11 percent in the last five years).

The larger vessel categories show a lower inactivity percentage. The percentage of inactive vessels in the categories 18-24m, 24-40m and >40m were 6%, 27% and 17% respectively for the year 2015. Between 2014-2015 the inactivity for the large vessels did not change a lot (between -1 and +2 percent). The inactivity percentage for the large vessels is relatively high because cockle vessels are also included in this category. These vessels are nowadays used in the hand cockle fisheries or other activities where no landing registrations are required (and in this way registered as inactive).

Percentage inactive vessels per length category



Percentage inactive per vessel length category in terms of vessel number, KW and tonnage

		Vessels									
<u>Year</u>	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
<10 m	38%	29%	31%	39%	37%	36%	39%	42%	43%		
10-12 m	41%	35%	32%	43%	43%	46%	44%	38%	32%		
12-18 m	30%	31%	31%	33%	42%	47%	36%	44%	43%		
18-24 m	9%	10%	7%	10%	9%	13%	7%	6%	7%		
24-40 m	19%	22%	23%	25%	26%	29%	25%	27%	24%		
>40 m	20%	13%	12%	11%	11%	16%	16%	17%	12%		

					kW				
<u>Year</u>	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
<10 m	28%	14%	18%	22%	15%	17%	25%	24%	26%
10-12 m	43%	29%	32%	40%	36%	31%	36%	20%	29%
12-18 m	25%	27%	29%	30%	46%	52%	35%	40%	47%
18-24 m	9%	9%	6%	9%	9%	12%	6%	6%	7%
24-40 m	12%	13%	12%	16%	16%	19%	20%	19%	14%
>40 m	10%	4%	4%	4%	4%	7%	7%	11%	4%

	Tonnage										
<u>Year</u>	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
<10 m	31%	23%	24%	32%	28%	29%	34%	37%	38%		
10-12 m	45%	40%	33%	44%	41%	45%	47%	48%	38%		
12-18 m	24%	31%	29%	29%	40%	48%	31%	39%	42%		
18-24 m	11%	9%	5%	8%	7%	11%	5%	4%	5%		
24-40 m	14%	15%	15%	17%	16%	19%	17%	18%	13%		
>40 m	6%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	5%	12%	2%		

Looking at the utilisation of the active fleet in terms of fishing effort:

- the small scale vessels are largely underutilised, at around 31% of the KWdays of the maximum observed effort (max observed days in based on average days at sea of 10 most active vessels). Which comes from very heterogeneous levels of effort in the fishery (note that days at sea are real 24h days so for small scale fleets with day trips 3 x 8 hours trip would make a day).
- The smaller beam trawlers also have very heterogeneous levels of activity in the fleet and are utilised at around 60% of the KW-days.
- The large beam trawls are around 70% for all years.
- The demersal fleet fluctuate between 65% (2012) and 94% (2013) of utilisation depending on the maximum number of sea days.
- The utilisation of pelagic fleet has increased over the last years. In 2015 8 pelagic vessels were fishing under Dutch flag utilising 83% of the KW-days and 83% of the GT-days.

Ratio between actual per vessel effort deployed and **observed** maximum effort in KW- and GT-days for active vessels

III KW ana Gi	days for active ve	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
	Observed max days	100	104	112	62	80	106	108	101	143
Small scale	Technical indicator (KW)	0.67	0.52	0.42	0.48	0.38	0.37	0.28	0.31	0.29
	Technical indicator (GT)	0.89	0.81	0.67	0.76	0.77	0.70	0.59	1.01	0.61
	Observed max days	193	237	183	188	208	219	198	203	217
Beamtrawlers <24m	Technical indicator (KW)	0.60	0.58	0.60	0.53	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.61	0.61
	Technical indicator (GT)	0.68	0.62	0.65	0.59	0.67	0.67	0.66	0.64	0.65
	Observed max days	222	240	245	247	246	253	242	252	269
Beamtrawlers >24m	Technical indicator (KW)	0.63	0.71	0.71	0.68	0.69	0.71	0.68	0.70	0.70
	Technical indicator (GT)	0.64	0.72	0.71	0.67	0.69	0.71	0.69	0.70	0.70
	Observed max days	189	194	207	203	232	208	214	206	225
Demersal trawlers	Technical indicator (KW)	0.78	0.78	0.76	0.72	0.65	0.94	0.79	0.79	0.73
	Technical indicator (GT)	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.79	0.73	0.93	0.81	0.83	0.76
Pelagic	Observed max days	286	251	280	273	218	199	221	223	261
trawlers	Technical indicator (KW)	0.7	0.69	0.66	0.74	0.70	0.82	0.79	0.83	0.78
	Technical indicator (GT)	0.68	0.68	0.66	0.75	0.71	0.83	0.81	0.83	0.78

Ratio between actual per vessel effort deployed and **theoretical** maximum effort in KW- and GT-days

III KW and Gr C	idys	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
	Theoretical max days	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220
Small scale	Technical indicator (KW)	0.18	0.17	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.14
	Technical indicator (GT)	0.29	0.28	0.23	0.19	0.22	0.26	0.20	0.26	0.30
	Theoretical max days	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220
Beamtrawlers <24m	Technical indicator (KW)	0.51	0.60	0.48	0.43	0.56	0.59	0.53	0.54	0.58
	Technical indicator (GT)	0.58	0.65	0.53	0.49	0.61	0.65	0.58	0.58	0.63
	Theoretical max days	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220
Beamtrawlers >24m	Technical indicator (KW)	0.61	0.74	0.76	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.73	0.77	0.82
	Technical indicator (GT)	0.63	0.76	0.76	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.74	0.77	0.82
	Theoretical max days	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220
Demersal trawlers	Technical indicator (KW)	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.64	0.66	0.86	0.75	0.72	0.72
	Technical indicator (GT)	0.67	0.67	0.74	0.70	0.73	0.85	0.77	0.75	0.75
Pelagic	Theoretical max days	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220
trawlers	Technical indicator (KW)	0.91	0.79	0.84	0.92	0.69	0.74	0.80	0.84	0.92
	Technical indicator (GT)	0.89	0.78	0.85	0.93	0.71	0.75	0.82	0.84	0.92

NOTE both observed and theoretical indicators are provided here, however the observed indicators are preferable because of inconsistency between the definition of days at sea (24h vs part of the day).

5 Biological sustainability indicators for the Dutch demersal and pelagic fleet segments

The procedure to calculate the biological sustainability indicators follows the guidelines as set out in the guidelines provided by DGMARE (2014).

Tables 5a and 5b in Annex 1 present an overview of the top 80 percent landed fish species calculated as the percentage in weight and value. It shows that for the Dutch demersal fleet in 2015 a limited number of species (12) account for \geq 80% of the value of all the landings in that fleet (see Annex 1). For the pelagic fleet the valuable species are less diverse, consisting of horse mackerel, herring, blue whiting, and mackerel.

Two indicators (i.e. Sustainable Harvest indicator and Stock-at-risk indicator) are used to assess whether the Dutch fleet is relying on overfished stocks, or is involved in causing a high biological risk to a depleted stock (see Annex 2 and 3 for a more detailed explanation of the biological sustainability indicators). Calculation of the indicators depends on the availability of quantified scientific advice for the fish stocks in question.

The Dutch fleet is divided into two large fleet segments, namely a demersal and a pelagic segment. The demersal segment is again divided into four smaller fleets: i: the large and ii) small beamtrawl fleet and iii) the remaining part of the Dutch demersal segment that do not fit the beamtrawl fleet ("other demersals"). Finally, also a iv) small scale fleet is present. Owing to the need to cluster fleets, some detailed information is lost. For example, there is no information about the diversity in gear and fishing method (i.e. large and small beamtrawlers, and flyshooters), or periodical diversity (i.e. shrimp-targeted fishery, flatfish-targeted fishery).

Sustainable Harvest indicator

The Sustainable Harvest Indicator (SHI) consists of two calculation steps. First, the ratio of fishing mortality to fishing mortality at maximum sustainable yield (F_{msy}) is calculated at a stock level. A ratio larger than 1 indicates a certain degree of overfishing (by the entire international fleet). Second, to derive an indicator relevant for the Netherlands, the ratio of all stocks of interest is weighted against the value of the Dutch catch of that stock compared to the entire Dutch fishery. In cases where the Netherlands catches the majority of a stock that is overfished, the SHI will increase as well and may become larger than 1. Where the Netherlands catches only small fractions of stocks that are overfished, the SHI will hardly be influenced by the F/F_{msy} ratio of that stock. A more detailed explanation about the Sustainable Harvest indicator can be found in Annex 2.

In cases where more than 60% of the value of the catch is made up of stocks for which values of F and F_{msy} are unavailable, the indicator is deemed to be unavailable (DG Fisheries and Maritime Affairs Guidelines).

Table 1 shows the Sustainable Harvest indicator score of the pelagic fleet segment for 2015 For the demersal fleet segment (large and small beamtrawl, 'other demersals', and small scale fleet) Table 2a-b-c-d show the indicator score.

Table 1: Sustainable Harvest indicator for the Dutch pelagic fleet segment in 2015. Species that, together, contribute $\geq 80\%$ of the value of all landings of the Dutch pelagic fleet segment have been included.

Fish stock pelagic (ICES stock code)	Value of landings (kEUR)	F	F _{msy}	(F/F _{msy})	Weighted F/F _{msy}
Herring (47d3)	24741	0.242	0.33	0.73	0.19
Herring (irls)	460	0.19	0.26	0.73	0.00
Herring (67bc)	338	0.071	0.16	0.44	0.00
Herring (3a22)	11	0.256	0.32	0.80	0.00
Mackerel (nea)	20337	0.289	0.22	1.31	0.29
Blue whiting (comb)	16921	0.386	0.32	1.21	0.22
Horse mackerel (west)	11857	0.126	0.13	0.97	0.12
Horse mackerel nsea	1601		-	-	-
Total	93280				
Sustainable Harvest indicator					0.83

Table 2a: Sustainable Harvest indicator for the Dutch small beamtrawl fleet segment in 2015. Species that, together, contribute $\geq 80\%$ of the value of all landings of this fleet segment have been included.

Fish stock small beamtrawl (ICES stock code)	Value of landings (kEUR)	F	F _{msy}	(F/F _{msy})	Weighted F/F _{msy}
Brown shrimp	52458	-	-	-	-
Sole (nsea)	11853	0.21	0.20	1.05	0.18
Total	70288				
Sustainable Harvest indicator					<60%

Table 3b: Sustainable Harvest indicator for <u>the Dutch large beamtrawl fleet segment</u> in 2015. Only species that, together, contribute $\geq 80\%$ of the value of all landings of this fleet segment have been included.

Fish stock large beamtrawl (ICES stock code)	Value of landings (kEUR)	F	F _{msy}	(F/F _{msy})	Weighted F/F _{msy}
Sole (nsea)	79913	0.20	0.20	1.01	0.54
Plaice (nsea)	37289	0.17	0.19	0.92	0.23
Turbot (nsea)	12561	-	-	1	-
Total	148410				
Sustainable Harvest indicator					0.77

Table 4c: Sustainable Harvest indicator for <u>the Dutch 'other demersals' fleet segment</u> in 2015. Species that, together, contribute $\geq 80\%$ of the value of all landings of this fleet segment have been included.

Fish stock 'other	Value of	F	F _{msy}	(F/F _{msy})	Weighted
demersals'	landings				F/F _{msy}
(ICES stock code)	(kEUR)				
Plaice (nsea)	7687	0.174	0.190	0.916	0.166
Striped red mullet	7301	-	-	-	-
Nephrops (FU5)	4164	-	-	-	-
Nephrops (FU33)	1143	-	-	-	-
Nephrops (outFU)	423	-	-	-	-
Mackerel (nea)	3679	0.289	0.22	1.314	0.114
Cod (347d)	2570	0.385	0.33	1.167	0.071
Grey gurnard	2484	-	-	=	-
Horse mackerel (nsea)	1742	-	-	-	-
Turbot (nsea)	1671	-	-	-	-
Brown shrimp	1425	-	-	-	-
Total	42482				
Sustainable Harvest indicator					<60%

Table 5d: Sustainable Harvest indicator for the Dutch small scale fleet segment in 2015. Species that, together, contribute $\geq 80\%$ of the value of all landings of this fleet segment have been included.

Fish stock small scale fleet (ICES stock code)	Value of landings (kEUR)	F	F _{msy}	(F/F _{msy})	Weighted F/F _{msy}
Ensis sp.	7005	-	-	-	-
Seabass	1467	-	-	-	-
Sole (nsea)	1313	0.201	0.20	1.005	0.116
Total	11367				
Sustainable Harvest indicator					<60%

In 2015, none of the Dutch fleet segments had a Sustainable Harvest Indicator higher than 1. However, not all of the stocks harvested by the fleet segments could be taken into account for the calculation. This is because these stocks are data limited and full analytical assessments are not presently possible to construct. In cases where more than 60% of the value of the catch is made up of stocks for which values of F and F_{msy} are unavailable the indicator is deemed to be invalid (DG Fisheries and Maritime Affairs Guidelines). This was the case for three of the demersal fleet segments; the "other demersals" fleet, the small beamtrawl fleet, and the small scale fleet.

Stock-at-risk indicator

The Stock-at-risk indicator is used for situations where stocks at high levels of biological risk are being exploited. In this context, "exploited" means that the stock in question accounts for more than 10% of the catches of the fleet, or that the fleet takes more than 10% of the total catches of the stock. This indicator is a measure of how many stocks are being affected by the activities of the fleet segment.

If a fleet segment takes more than 10% of its catches from a stock which is at high biological risk, this is treated as an indication of an imbalance for that stock. For this calculation, a stock at high biological risk means a stock which falls into one or more of the following categories (status of the stock in 2015):

- A. Assessed as being below Blim (Biomass limit reference point); or,
- B. Subject to an advice to close the fishery, to prohibit directed fisheries, to reduce the fishery to the lowest possible level, or similar advice from an international advisory body, even where such advice is given on a data-limited basis; or,
- C. Subject to a fishing opportunities regulation which stipulates that the fish should be returned to the sea unharmed or that landings are prohibited; or,
- D. A stock which is on the IUCN "red list" or is listed by CITES.

For both the pelagic fleet (Table 3) and the demersal fleet (Table 4) no stocks are being exploited at high levels of biological risk.

Table 6: Stock-at-risk indicator for the Dutch pelagic fleet segment in 2015. Stocks included are those that account for more than 10% of the catches of the fleet, or that the fleet takes more than 10% of the total catches of the stock. Definitions of A-B-C-D are described in the text above.

Fish stock pelagic	A	В	С	D	Stock at high biological risk (Y/N)
Herring (47d3)	0	0	0	0	N
Blue whiting (comb)	0	0	0	0	N
Mackerel (nea)	0	0	0	0	N
Horse mackerel (west)	NA*	0	0	0	N

^{*}NA: no information available

Table 4: Stock-at-risk indicator for the Dutch demersal fleet segment in 2015. Stocks included are those that account for more than 10% of the catches of the fleet, or that the fleet takes more than 10% of the total catches of the stock. Definitions of A-B-C-D are described in the text above.

Fish stock demersal	A	В	С	D	Stock at high biological risk (Y/N)
Plaice (nsea)	0	0	0	0	N
Brown shrimp	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*
Sole (nsea)	0	0	0	0	N

^{*}NA: no information available

Annex 1: Important fish species for the Dutch fleet

Table 5a: Important fish species for the Dutch fleet in 2015 in **value** (contributing to \geq 80% of the total value of landings for each fleet segment).

Demersal trawlers			Small scale	Pelagic fleet
Small beamtrawl	Large beamtrawl	Other demersals		
Total value:	Total value:	Total value:	Total value:	Total value:
70.287 kEUR	148.409 kEUR	42.482 kEUR	11.366 kEUR	93.280 kEUR
Brown shrimp (75%)	Sole (54%)	Plaice (18%)	Ensis (62%)	Herring (27%)
Sole (17%)	Plaice (25%)	Striped red mullet (17%)	Seabass (13%)	Mackerel (22%)
	Turbot (8%)	Nephrops (13%)	Sole (12%)	Blue whiting (18%)
		Mackerel (9%)		Horsemackerel (14%)
		Cod (6%)		
		Grey gurnard (6%)		
		Horse mackerel (4%)		
		Turbot (4%)		
		Brown shrimp (3%)		

Table 5b: Important fish species for the Dutch fleet in 2015 in **weight** (contributing to ≥80% of the total weight of landings for each fleet segment).

	Pelagic fleet			
Demersal trawlers			Small scale	Small scale
Small beamtrawl	Large beamtrawl	Other demersals		
Total weight:	Total weight:	Total weight:	Total weight:	Total weight:
21.801 tonnes	42.890 tonnes	16.698 tonnes	6.410 tonnes	24.2705 tonnes
Brown shrimp (80%)	Plaice (60%)	Plaice (32%)	Ensis (87%)	Herring (31%)
	Sole (18%)	Striped red mullet (12%)		Blue whiting (23%)
	Dab (4%)	Grey gurnard (10%)	\$1.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.0	Mackerel (16%)
		Mackerel (6%)	\$1.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.0	Horsemackerel (12%)
		Whiting (6%)	şı	
		Cod (5%)		
		Nephrops (5%)		
		Dab (4%)		

Annex 2: Sustainable Harvest indicator

Sustainable Harvest indicator

This indicator reflects the extent to which a fleet segment depends on overfished stocks. Here, "overfished" means that a stock is fished above F_{msy} , the fishing mortality rate corresponding to maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Data requirements are: full biological assessments of the stocks fished, i.e. where current fishing mortality has been determined; estimates of F_{msy} , or existing proxies to it (F_{max} or $F_{0.1}$) and the value of the catch of each stock taken.

Where a fleet segment fishes a single stock, the indicator is calculated as:

$$\frac{F}{Fmsy}$$

where F is the most recent value of fishing mortality available from scientific assessment (i.e. ICES advice) and F_{msy} is the fishing mortality at maximum sustainable yield (MSY).

The indicator has been extended to cover fleets active in different fisheries (during the year) and mixed-fisheries situations. When a fleet segment catches a number of species (n) then the indicator is an average of the indicator above for each stock (i), weighted by the value of that stock (L_i) . The indicator is calculated as:

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} Vi \frac{Fi}{Fmsyi}}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \sum Vi}$$

where F_i = most recent value of fishing mortality of stock i available from scientific assessment (i.e. ICES advice), $F_{msy,i}$ = fishing mortality at maximum sustainable yield of stock i, V_i = value of the landings of stock i caught by the Dutch fishing fleet.

This indicator performs in the same way whether the fleet segment makes catches from different stocks in the same fishing operations or whether this occurs in a sequence of different targeted fisheries within the same fishing year.

It should be noted that the calculation of this indicator depends on the availability of quantified scientific advice for the stocks in question. In cases where more than 60% of the value of the catch is made up of stocks for which values of F and F_{msy} are unavailable, the indicator is deemed to be unavailable (DG Fisheries and Maritime Affairs Guidelines). Additionally, at present the indicator is not weighted by the actual TAC the Netherlands obtains each year.

Annex 3: Stock-at-risk indicator

Stock-at-risk indicator

The Sustainable Harvest indicator does not identify cases where stocks are being exploited at high levels of biological risk.

As a complementary indicator to identify such situations, the Stock-at-risk indicator counts the number of stocks currently assessed exploited by the fleet in question as being at high biological risk. In this context, "exploited by" means that the stock(s) at high risk each make up more than 10% of the catches of the fleet, or that the fleet takes more than 10% of the catches of the stock.

For this calculation, a stock at high biological risk means a stock which is either:

- A. assessed as being below the Blim biological level; or,
- B. subject to an advice to close the fishery, to prohibit directed fisheries, to reduce the fishery to the lowest possible level, or similar advice from an international advisory body, even where such advice is given on a data-limited basis; or;
- C. subject to a fishing opportunities regulation which stipulates that the fish should be returned to the sea unharmed or that landings are prohibited; or
- D. a stock which is on the IUCN "red list" or is listed by CITES.

(The status of the stock should be considered in a logical timeframe. For instance, only the status of these stocks in 2015 were considered for this report.)

This can be expressed, for each fleet segment catching n stocks of fish, as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} (1 \text{ if } (Ci > 0.1Ct) \text{ or } (Ci > 0.1Ti); \text{ otherwise } 0)$$

where C_i = catch of stock i, C_t = total catch of all stocks taken by the fleet segment, T_i = total catch of stock i taken by all segments, for n stocks that fall into any one of categories A. to D. above.

Annex 4: References

ICES advice reports 2016, these are available at the ICES website http://ices.dk/publications/library/Pages/default.aspx

DG Fisheries and Maritime Affairs Guidelines for analysis of the balance between fishing capacity and fishing opportunities. (COM(2014)545 final; 2.9.2014)