



Simplified Cost options

Dutch experiences in EMFF

Expert meeting EMFF 18/2/2018

>>> Sustainable. Agricultural.
Innovative. International.





Content

- Common SCO's ESI-funds
- SCO's for specific EMFF-measures
- Considerations and lessons learned



Common SCO's ESI-funds 1

- On the shelf options of 1303/2013 article 68, 68a and 68b
- Options without need for MS to calculate the rate (15%, 20% and 40%)
- Additional simplification, fixed rate employers costs
- Additional national option of integrated costs system



Common SCO's ESI funds 2

- Simplification of staff costs serves wide range of projects
- Less administrative burden beneficiaries to deliver proof
- One method for all ESI-funds makes it easier to apply to different funds
- Less errors



SCO's for specific EMFF measures 1 Lump sum PMP's

- Under article 66 we support the preparation of the production and marketing plan
- Lump sum costs based on historical data PO's
- Calculation on forehand discussed with AA
- Lump sum is payed after plan is approved (result)



SCO's for specific EMFF measures 2 Lump sum for investments

- Limitative list of eligible investments under article 42
- Lump sum per investment based on market research
- Grant depends on number and types of investments and type of firm (SME)
- Payment when investments are realised
- Prove by invoice and proof of payment



Considerations in developing SCO's

- Can the SCO be used for a wide range of projects
- Is it really a simplification for the beneficiary and the MA
- Can we define the SCO well/Clear result
- Validation of the SCO
- Consult the AA on forehand to reduce the risk of systemic errors
- Sector willing to use the SCO



Lessons learned

- Use experience of other funds, especially for on the shelf options in the CPR
- Workload MA shifts from evaluation of applications to preparation of a measure
- Audit trail on the preparation of the SCO
- Evaluation of the SCO's



Questions and other experiences





