

Development of CLLD Indicators in Scotland 2014-20

ALISTAIR PRIOR

# LEADER/CLLD in Scotland 2014-20

- Agreed to a multi funded approach, EMFF & EAFRD
- Greater coherence, greater focus, stronger governance:
  - One area, one LDS
  - One area, one partnership
- Independent Chair, separation from lead partner (responsible for hosting the LDS admin/animation function)
  - Clear about when to use funds
- Approach enabling, proportionate with clearer, and simpler guidance/systems to support implementation.

## Our approach...

- Transparent criteria for funding allocations based on Area, Socio-economic profile + population
- Each LDS a minimum of £2million to ensure they had capacity to animate/administer effectively
- Guidance slimmer and clearer on areas such as reasonableness of cost, state aid, eligibility.
- Regulations limited to enforcement of EU rules around payments etc.
- Clearer Governance & Communication
- IT system introduced to remove compliance/admin errors
- Support/training e.g. thematic, capacity building workshops including M&E

#### Local Development Strategy Areas 2014-2020 1, Aberdeenshire North 12, Kelvin Valley and Falkirk 2 Aberdeenshire South 13, Moray 3, Angus 14, Orkney 4, Argyll and Bute 15, Outer Hebrides ATLANTIC OCEA 5, Ayrshire 16, Rural Perth and Kinross 6, Cairngorms 17. Scottish Borders 7, Dumfries and Galloway 18, Shetland 19. Lanarkshire 9, Forth Valley and Lomond 20, Tyne and Esk 10, Greater Renfrewshire & Inverciyde 21, West Lothian 11, Highland Ineligible Areas © Crown copyright and database right (2015) All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024655

#### LEADER 2014-20

21 LDS 14 EMFF + EAFRD 21 LAG 8 FLAG

£86 million
EAFRD/SG
(+ c£6million EMFF/SG)

# Development of Common Indicators

- 300+ unique indicators at LDS level across 21 LDS
- Managing Authority & LAGs/FLAGs identified an opportunity to refine indicators at LDS level and identify those that could cut across all the LDS.
- Collectively considered if was there any duplication with national indicators (under EAFRD/EMFF)
- Collectively considered if they stacked up? (simple, easily captured & clearly understood)
- Collectively thought about <a href="what really needed to be">what really needed to be</a> captured and why?
- Above all we needed to ensure that wherever we were in the LEADER/CLLD 'ecosystem' we understood how LDS implementation was going in real time.
- Managing Authority, Scottish Rural Network, FLAG/LAG members and managers worked together to work through the M&E needs of LDS

# Actions and steps

	Deadline (2016)	By whom		
Present an overview of the M&E framework for Scottish LEADER and the plans for refining indicators to Co-ordinators Meeting	3 <sup>rd</sup> Feb	MA & National Network (SRN)		
M&E Group send suggestions for M&E tool kit and workshop contents to SRN	9 <sup>th</sup> Feb	M&E Group (MA, FLAGs/LAGs, SRN)		
Scottish Government work up a proposed shared indicator list, beneficiary lists and workshop/toolkit contents to circulate to M&E Group	10 <sup>th</sup> Feb	MA & National Network		
M&E Group review shared indicator list, beneficiary lists and workshop/toolkit contents and meet with SRN to finalise (conference call).	19th Feb	M&E Group and National Network		
Finalise workshop and toolkit - then run workshop on 26 <sup>th</sup> Feb (including shared indicators & definitions, M&E framework and definitions of 'output indicator' & 'result indicator' etc., examples of data collection methods for each indicator, useful resources and links)	26 <sup>th</sup> Feb	National Network		
Each area refines their M&E plan in their Business Plans (BPs) and LDSs and re-submit BPs and LDSs to Scottish Government and share with SRN.	14 <sup>th</sup> March	All LAG/FLAG Co-ordinators		
SRN reviews new M&E plans from all areas and collate a list of all indicators to circulate to M&E Group for review	21 <sup>st</sup> March	National Network		
M&E Group meet with SRN to finalise shared Indicator list, beneficiary lists and definitions to input to LARCS (IT SYSTEM) and associated guidance.	25 <sup>th</sup> March	M&E Group and National Network		

# Clustering indicators around common objectives of the LDS

- Environment, built/cultural heritage, tourism, access
  - Employment and employability
  - Climate, carbon & renewables

Discounting those indicators that were clearly unique to individual LDS, highlighting those that were 'questionable' - e.g. demonstrating reduction in carbon emissions...

For example...

Employment and employability	
No of actions / activities that create employmen	t
No of jobs (FTE) created which will be	how do you measure this - post project, post
sustained post LEADER	programme.
No of temporary jobs created (FTE) for	Measure as FTE - jobs created - e.g. 3 month
duration of funding (specify sector)	would go down as 0 .25FTE
	Breakdown by sector (key), given typology.
No of jobs safeguarded (FTE) (specify sector)	See R24/T23
No of jobs created and or safeguarded	
No. of jobs safeguarded	
No of projects creating or safeguarding jobs	
No of projects which contribute to greater	
employment opportunities in remoter areas	
More new jobs created locally	
More local people find local employment	smart?
No of actions / activities that support	
employability / youth employment	
/underemployed	See 55
No of long term unemployed supported into	
work – temporary / permanent (detail sector &	
FTE)	Is this dioable as part iof a common set?
People in rural West Lothian access to job-	
seeking support services	

Environment / natural and built heritage/access (includes elements of tourism and culture)	
No of new environmental awareness raising (sectoral events/activities) initiatives supported No of environmental improvement projects funded	With drop down list of project types - e.g. green space, cultural, buildings etc
No of actions linked to access to and education of the natural (and built) environment No of environmental access, sustainability and biodiversity projects (+projects linked to strategic landscape initiatives) Increase in number of green spaces	
Length / no of pathway or access areas assisted	RDP indicator on access - can we be consistent with that? - length/no
No of natural and cultural heritage projects No of natural heritage sites assisted Improvements to local buildings Projects which build on or utilise Shetland's cultural heritage No. of new facilities or services (natural, cultural, creative etc ) Increased visitor numbers in related communities No. of people reporting improved accessibility to natural and cultural assets No of new and repeat visitors No of visitors	
Increase in number of visits to specific events/attractions.	Increase in number of visits to facilities and/or attractions e.g. tourism, footpaths,

Climate / carbon / renewables	
No of activities / actions that support reduction in CO2 emissions (e.g. reduce dependency on road transport and their emmissions)  More sustainable and energy efficient communities  No of actions on climate change  No of activities / actions that support the use of	
renewables from emerging technologies  No of activities / actions that support	No of projects contributing to a low carbon
development of low carbon economy	economy
Projects which increase installed capacity of renewable energy	
No of sustainable energy initiatives supported	
Projects which examine new carbon reduction techniques	
Projects which provide energy saving solutions for community buildings	No of projects providing energy saving solutions for community facilities
No. of community (co-ownership) renewable	
energy projects	
No. of Improved energy efficiency measures in place	
Business awareness of initiative for energy efficieny	is this not done already? Don't see huge demand here?
Businesses undergone energy use improvement plans	
Businesses (in coastal Moray) with renewables related activity as part of their business portfolio	

### Final list of common indicators 1/2 -

- (R) Result, (O) Output
- No. of new enterprises (R)
- No. of new products or services created (R)
- No. of first time volunteers (R)
- No. of jobs safeguarded (FTE) (R)
- No. of community facilities improved (R)
- No. of community facilities created and new services provided (R)
- Length of paths created (R)
- Annual change in the number of visits to facilities/attractions (R)
- No. of projects contributing to a low carbon economy (0)
- No. of projects that foster innovation (0)
- No. of projects implemented through partnership working (0)
- No. of people participating in LEADER projects (0)
- No. of projects creating or safeguarding jobs (0)
- No. of knowledge transfer actions delivered by projects (0)

### Final list of common indicators 2/2

- No. of enterprises developing new markets (0)
- No. of projects supporting food & drink supply chain development (0)
- No. of enterprises participating in food & drink supply chain (0)
- No. of community-based food & drink projects (O)
- No. of environmental awareness raising projects supported (0)
- No. of promotional/marketing projects (0)
- No. of initial studies or pilot projects supported (0)
- No. of events supported (0)
- No. of projects benefiting young people (0)
- No. of projects benefiting disadvantaged groups (0)
- No. of projects that support capacity building and/or leadership in communities (0)
- No. of LAG/FLAG visits and exchanges undertaken (0)
- No. of learning days delivered (0)
- No. of projects supporting communities to manage/own assets/services (0)
- No. of projects supporting broadband roll out (0)
- No. of projects that address rural inequality (0)

#### Reflections and Conclusions

• Leadership by the MA crucial.

• 'Team Scotland' approach was equally crucial to development of M&E frameworks.

Great reminder that we needn't over complicate
- (simple, easily captured & clearly understood)

 Monitoring, evaluation, effective communications and meaningful engagement all fundamental pieces of the CLLD jigsaw helping us and others to understand the added

# Final list of common indicators... as part of M & E toolkit...

# LEADER Toolkit Monitoring and Evaluation

#### Contents

Introduction	Page 2
Monitoring progress	Page 2
The importance of indicators	Page 3
Ensuring high quality indicators	Page 3
Capturing on Local Actions in Rural Communities system	Page 4
Evaluating LDS implementation	Page 4
Approaches	Page 4
Self-evaluation	Page 5
Methods and tools	Page 5
Glossary	Page 9
Annex A - Indicators	Page 13

https://www.ruralnetwork.scot/ sites/default/files/documents/ Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation% 20Toolkit.pdf



Thank you!

Alistair.Prior@rurali.co.uk