


# **MINISTRY FOR AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND ANIMAL RIGHTS**



## **Annual Report on efforts to achieve a sustainable balance between fishing capacity and fishing opportunities for the year 2019**

In accordance with  
Article 22 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 on the Common Fisheries Policy

**Malta**

**Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture,  
Ghammieri, Ingiered Road,  
Marsa, MRS 3303  
Malta**

**May 2020**

# Table of Contents

<b>LIST OF ACRONYMS .....</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>SUMMARY OF REPORT .....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>STATEMENT OF MALTA'S OPINION ON BALANCE OF FLEET CAPACITY AND FISHING OPPORTUNITY .....</b>	<b>IV</b>
A.1. DESCRIPTION OF THE FLEET .....	1
A.2. DEVELOPMENT IN THE FLEET .....	3
A.3. FISHERIES COVERED BY MULTIANNUAL MANAGEMENT OR RECOVERY PLANS.....	3
A.4. STATEMENT OF EFFORT REDUCTION SCHEMES.....	4
A.5. IMPACT ON FISHING CAPACITY OF EFFORT REDUCTION SCHEMES .....	4
A.6. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ENTRY/EXIT SCHEME AND WITH LEVEL OF REFERENCE .....	4
A.7. SUMMARY OF WEAKNESSES AND STRENGTHS OF FLEET MANAGEMENT SYSTEM .....	4
A.8. PLAN FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN FLEET MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.....	5
A.9. INFORMATION ON GENERAL LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE WITH FLEET POLICY INSTRUMENTS.....	5
A.10. INFORMATION ON CHANGES OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES RELEVANT TO FLEET MANAGEMENT .....	6
A.11. TECHNICAL INDICATORS .....	7
A.11.1. <i>Inactive Fleet Indicator</i> .....	7
A.11.2. <i>Vessel Utilisation Indicator</i> .....	10
A.12. BIOLOGICAL INDICATORS.....	13
A.12.3. <i>Sustainable Harvest Indicator</i> .....	13
A.12.4. <i>Stocks-At-Risk Indicator</i> .....	16
A.13. ECONOMIC INDICATORS.....	17
A.13.1. <i>Return on Investment (ROI) vs Next Best Alternative</i> .....	17
A.13.2. <i>Current Revenue against Break-even Revenue Economic Indicator</i> .....	19
A.14. ACTION PLAN .....	22
A.14.1. <i>Background</i> .....	22
A.14.2. <i>Analysis of Trends</i> .....	22
A.14.3. <i>Fishing Opportunities</i> .....	25
A.14.4. <i>Context</i> .....	25
A.14.5. <i>Link to Previous Actions and Rationale for a New Action Plan</i> .....	25
<b>ANNEX I – ACTION PLAN.....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>ANNEX II – DOCUMENTATION ON CLUSTERING.....</b>	<b>29</b>

## List of Acronyms

AWL	Automated Weighing and Labelling system
BER	Break Even Revenue
DFA	Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture
DFN	Drift and/or fixed netters
DTS	Demersal trawlers and/or demersal seiners
F	Fishing mortality
FAD	Fishery Aggregating Devices
FIS	Fisheries Information System
FMZ	Fisheries Management Zone
FPO	Vessels using pots and/or traps
FVR	Fishing Vessel Registry
GFCM	General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean
GSA	Geographical sub-area
GT	Gross tonnage
HOK	Vessels using hooks
ICCAT	International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas
ICES	International Council for the Exploration of the Sea
LOA	Length overall
MGO	Vessel using other active gears
MSE	Management Strategy Evaluation
MSY	Maximum sustainable yield
PGP	Vessels using polyvalent passive gears only
PMP	Vessels using active and passive gears
PS	Purse seiners
ROFTA	Return on Fixed Tangible Assets
ROI	Return on Investment
SAC	Scientific Advisory Committee on Fisheries
SAR	Stocks at Risk Indicator
SHI	Sustainable Harvest Indicator
STECF	Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries
TM	Pelagic trawlers
VUR	Vessel Utilisation Ratio
WGSAD	Working Group on Stock Assessment of Demersal Species

## Summary of Report

The Maltese fishery is a relatively small industry of a typically Mediterranean artisanal type and is frequently described as a multi-species and multi-gear fishery. The fleet during 2019 consisted of 912 professional (commercial) vessels with a total gross tonnage and (main engine) power of 6,551.5 GT and 72,868.1 kW respectively. The majority of the professional vessels (93%) are less than 12m in length and operate mainly in coastal waters. Larger vessels, those over 12m in length, mainly consist of trawlers, long-liners and netters, which operate mainly in off-shore waters all year round. The lengths of the registered vessels range from 3.0 to 35.0 metres.

The main exploited species include swordfish, dolphinfish, bluefin tuna, demersal and small-pelagic species – particularly mackerel, and a number of additional species, some of which have a high commercial value, for example the red shrimp, despite being caught in smaller quantities. The commercial part of the Maltese Fishing Vessel Register did not open for additional registrations during 2019. No vessels left the fleet through effort reduction schemes.

It should be noted that in 2019, the only stocks for which the Maltese fleet has been allocated fishing opportunities for are bluefin tuna and swordfish. The indicator results for fleet segments targeting other species should therefore be considered as indicative. The vessel use indicators were based on 2019 data whilst the biological indicators and economic indicators were based on 2018 data.

The Inactive Fleet Indicator shows that there is the possibility that the professional Maltese fleet might be operating in a technically inefficient manner. However, this can be explained since Maltese fishers regularly own several vessels, which are not used simultaneously. On the other hand, the Vessel Utilisation Indicator shows that overall (6 out of 10 segments), the professional Maltese fleet is in balance.

The Sustainable Harvest Indicator was only applicable to a limited number of fleet segments since the percentage of stocks assessed was very low. The most recent validated regional stock assessments were used to give an indication of the impact of trawling on the exploited ecosystem; the results suggest that regionally, the stock of hake was in high overfishing status with relative low spawning stock biomass. The stock status of deep-water rose shrimp was assessed as high overfishing or intermediate overfishing with relative low biomass. The stock of red mullet was assessed as in low overfishing with relative low biomass. A management plan to address this issue was devised at GFCM level, including Malta, Sicily and Tunisia, which all exploit the same stock (Recommendation GFCM/40/2016/4). It should be noted that Malta's percentage catch for these stocks is less than 0.04% (and thus negligible) and that Malta already reduced its trawling fleet by approximately 30% since 2011. The SAR indicator is not available for Malta for 2012-2018.

Two economic indicators were calculated. The first indicator (Return on Investment [ROI]) was selected over the Return on Fixed Tangible Assets (ROFTA) since data on intangible assets and tangible assets are both available. For reference year 2018, the fleet segments, other active gears (MGO) VL0612 and VL1824; and purse seiners (PS) VL1824 had a positive ROI greater than the low risk long term interest rate. On the other hand, the remaining segments did not have a positive ROI.

The Current Revenue against Break-Even Revenue Economic Indicator was calculated for the year 2018. For the year 2018, the fleet segments other active gears (MGO) VL0612 and VL1824; and purse seiners (PS) VL1824 had a ratio greater than 1. For reference year 2018, the fleet segments demersal trawlers (DTS) VL2440; Gears using hooks (HOK) VL1218 and VL1824; and Combine mobile and Passive gears (PMP) VL06122; had a ratio less than 1. This means that these fleet segments generated insufficient income to cover variable, fixed and capital costs. Hence this fleet segment is unprofitable, with potential over-capitalisation. The remaining other segments had a negative CR/BER result which means that variable costs alone exceed current revenue and hence they are in a loss-making position.

Although, the year 2018 resulted as being economically insufficient since the ratio for most of the fleet segments was less than one, it is important to mention that a number of unprofitable segments have made improvements in this indicator from the previous year.

When looking into the economic development trends of the fleet segments, five segments out of 10 has deteriorated during the years analyzed. This deterioration were recorded for fleet segments HOK VL1218, HOK VL1824, PMP VL0006, and clustered segment PGP VL0006 and VL 0612. Out of the remaining fleet and clustered segments, three were balanced, two of which also recorded an improving development trend and the remaining two showed an improving trend in their economic performance.

## Statement of Malta’s opinion on balance of fleet capacity and fishing opportunity

The traffic light system was used to apply Malta’s opinion on balance of fleet capacity and fishing opportunity, shown in Table i.

**Table i Traffic light system; grey colour: non-applicable value, green colour: satisfactory value, yellow colour: somewhat unsatisfactory value, red colour: very unsatisfactory value as per STECF-11-17.**

Indicator	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Inactive Fleet Indicator												
Vessel Utilisation Indicator												
Sustainable Harvest Indicator					*	*	*					
Stocks at Risk Indicator												
ROI vs Next Best Alternative												
CR vs BeR Indicator												

## A.1. Description of the Fleet

The Maltese Islands are surrounded by the 25 nautical mile fisheries management zone (FMZ), as outlined in Article 26 of the Council Regulation 1967/2006, which aims to safeguard the sustainability of fisheries by essentially limiting fishing effort and capacity by restricting size and engine power

The Maltese fishery is a relatively small industry of a typically Mediterranean artisanal type. It is frequently described as a multi-species and multi-gear fishery, with the majority of the fishers switching from one gear to another several times throughout the year. The main fisheries in Maltese waters are those for small pelagics, swordfish, dolphinfish, bluefin tuna, and demersal species. These fisheries are mostly operated on a seasonal basis, according to the particular targeted species' migratory or biological behaviour.

In 2019, the Maltese fishing fleet consisted of 912 professional vessels, of which 386 (~42%) were professional full-time and 526 (~58%) were professional part-time vessels, as shown in Table 1. The total gross tonnage and (main engine) power of the professional fleet were 6,551.5 GT and 72,868.1 kW respectively. The absolute majority (93%) of the professional vessels are less than 12 m in length overall and more than half of them are of a traditional design, mainly 'luzzu' and 'kajjik', and these operate mainly in coastal waters. Larger vessels, those over 12 m in length, amount to 64 vessels and mainly consist of trawlers, long-liners and netters which operate mainly in off-shore waters all year round. The lengths of the registered fishing vessels range from 3 to 35 m.

**Table 1 Total number of vessels, gross tonnage (GT) and primary engine power (kW) of the professional Maltese fishing fleet in 2019 by fishing technique (clustered).**

Activity Status	Professional Status	Fishing Technique	Number of Vessels	Total GT	Total kW
Active	Full-time	DTS	13	1753.42	4782.51
		HOK	31	1541.2	8295.62
		MGO	22	323.92	4183.37
		PGP	160	497.04	10835.76
		PMP	97	375.35	9317.67
		PS	4	206.02	1133.92
	Part-time	PGP	300	454.59	14186.94
		PMP	55	146.07	3902.73
Inactive	Full-time	NA	59	913.11	6971.78
	Part-time	NA	171	340.77	9257.82

In 2019, a total of 15 trawlers were registered on a full-time basis, of which 13 were active. The collective total power and tonnage of the registered trawlers were 5,561.51 kW and 2,007.42 GT respectively. These ranged between 19.08 to 35.00 m in overall length.

The fleet as of 31 December 2019 consisted of 1,798 vessels holding the recreational fishing license. In accordance with Article 17 of Council Regulation 1967/2006, the use of towed nets, surrounding nets, purse seines, boat dredges, mechanised dredges, gillnets, trammel nets and combined bottom-set nets and longlines for highly migratory species are prohibited for recreational fisheries. The fish caught by vessels in this category are not commercialised.

### *Data Source and Coverage*

The data used to compile the various indicators were collected under the Data Collection Framework (DCF), cf. Council Regulation (European Commission (EC) No 199/2008 of 25th February 2008), amended by the Multiannual Union Programme for the collection, management and use of data in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors for the period 2017-2019 (Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2016/1251 of 12 July 2016 and the Council Regulation (EC) No 199/2008 on a framework for the collection of data in the fisheries sector). This EU-MAP has been extended for a period of two years through Commission Implementing Decision C(2019) 1001 of 18 February 2019 and Commission Delegated Decision C(2019) 1848 of 13 March 2019.

All indicators provided and used in this report were calculated according to the 2014 Balance Indicator Guidelines (COM (2014) 545 final).<sup>1</sup> Technical and economic balance indicators were calculated using data submitted under the 2020 Data call for economic and social datasets on the EU fishing fleets issued by DG MARE in February 2020.

The 2020 fleet economic data call requested transversal and economic data covering years from 2008 to 2018/19. Capacity data (GT, kW, number of vessels) was requested up to and including 2018, while employment and economic parameters were requested up to and including 2018. Most effort and all landings data were requested up to and including 2019, as well as, value of landings (non-mandatory) to allow for economic performance projections to be estimated for 2019. For confidentiality reasons, to provide sensitive economic data, Malta aggregated the economic and social datasets for 2017, 2018 and 2019 fleet segments into clusters, as described in Annex II.

The two biological indicators (SHI and SAR indicator) were calculated based on the DC MAP transversal (landings) data submitted under the same data call. Landings and effort data for fleet segments operating in the Mediterranean and Black Sea region (i.e. Area 37 or MBS), including Malta, were requested at the GCFM-GSA level by the 2020 economic data call. This level of aggregation was requested to correctly allocate landings to the relevant stocks when calculating the biological balance indicators. Additional information needed to calculate the biological indicators was obtained from other sources as explained in each section.

---

<sup>1</sup> Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council – Guidelines for the analysis of the balance between fishing capacity and fishing opportunities according to Art 22 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 of the European Parliament and the Council on the Common Fisheries Policy COM(2014) 545 final.

## A.2. Development in the Fleet

The professional part of the Maltese Fishing Vessel Register (FVR) did not open for new registrations during 2019, though registrations were accepted for recreational vessels. Table 2 and Table 3 show the replaced and new vessels respectively, with details on each vessel. Requests for replacements are submitted by the vessel owner for departmental approval. The plan may only be altered following an approval by the Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (DFA).

**Table 2 List of vessels replaced in 2019.**

FVR Number	Vessel Name	Vessel Type	Retirement Date	Length	GT	kW	Construction Year
MFA 0276	Petmar	MPV	17/05/2019	6.70	3.36	104.44	2003
MFC 8107	Karmelina 1	MPV	Exists	6.70	3.36	250.00	1992
MFA 0276	Petmar	MPV	17/05/2019	6.70	3.36	104.44	2003
MFA 7562							
MFC 6945	Waylon	Kajjik	07/09/2012	4.88	1.04	41.80	2012
MFA 7962	Onda Bianca	MPV	31/05/2019	9.00	5.99	224.00	2012
MFB 0082	Falcon 1	MPV	01/01/1979	8.40	4.14	164.12	1994
MFA 7562	Strega del mare	MPV	14/01/2009	7.62	4.90	151.00	2008
MFB 0216	St.Paul	Luzzu	16/11/2018	6.40	1.81	45.00	1968
MFB 0466	Sibona	MPV	19/07/2018	9.76	4.57	123.09	1991
MFB 8168	Antonia 1	MPV	01/01/1994	8.40	4.14	164.12	1994

**Table 3 Details of new vessels which replaced the vessels listed in Table 2 in 2019**

FVR Number	Vessel Name	Vessel Type	Registration Date	Length	GT	kW	Construction Year
MFA 7276	Marjon 1	MPV	17/05/2019	9.05	7.38	3.54	2018
MFA 7563	Bianca Neve 1	MPV	20/03/2019	9.00	6.81	186.50	2019
MFB 7946	Waylon	Kajjik	07/09/2012	4.88	1.04	41.80	2012
MFA 7964	Onda Bianca	MPV	31/05/2019	9.00	5.99	224.00	2012
MFA 7963	GulianaG	Longliner	20/12/2019	20.40	72.00	300.00	2007
MFB 7290	Nostro Padre	MPV	07/04/2017	11.84	12.43	85.79	2017
MFB 8003	Guzoy	MPV	06/07/2006	6.10	2.01	136.25	2001

## A.3. Fisheries Covered by Multiannual Management or Recovery Plans

Currently there are three management plans in place within the 25 nm Maltese FMZ. These were developed in line with Article 19 of Council Regulation (EC) No 1967/2006 of 21 December 2006 concerning management measures for the sustainable exploitation of fishery resources in the Mediterranean Sea and include: lampara purse seine fishery, bottom otter trawler fishery and lampuki FAD fisheries. The two main objectives of management plans are to ensure the sustainability of stocks through better monitoring and to ensure financial stability for fishers.

Lampara fishery targets mainly small pelagic species, including chub mackerel (*Scomber japonicus*) and round sardinella (*Sardinella aurita*). The stocks targeted by the Maltese lampara fishery are stocks shared with Sicily. The objectives of the lampara fishery management plan are to ensure that stocks are fished at sustainable levels, to ensure financial stability for fishers and to safeguard artisanal fishing activity. Following this management plan, the lampara vessel activities are monitored by a tracking system and catch logbooks, whilst the fishing capacity in terms of GT and dimensions of the gear is frozen. In addition, the lampara



management plan indicated a 20 % reduction (in line with the precautionary approach) of the assessed lampara capacity in terms of number of vessels to be reached by the end of 2015.

The bottom otter trawl fishery targets mainly shared stocks including red shrimps (*Aristaeomorpha foliacea*), red mullet (*Mullus* spp.) and deep water rose shrimp (*Parapenaeus longirostris*). The status of the latter stock together with that of European hake (*Merluccius merluccius*) is monitored annually at a regional level. The statuses of both stocks are in overfishing. This management plan serves to aid in the recovery of the stocks whilst ensuring financial stability of fishers. The plan indicates that a 20% capacity reduction was to be concluded by end of 2016, together with a temporal reduction of 10% which had to be implemented as from 2014 for a period of three years.

The lampuki fish aggregating device (FAD) fishery targets juvenile species of *Coryphaena hippurus*. Lampuki is a highly migratory species and stocks are shared between diverse Mediterranean countries. The management plan for this fishery affects Maltese fishing fleet licensed to fish for the lampuki using FADs inside and outside the 25 nm FMZ. As per Article 27(2) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1967/2006, the number of fishing vessels authorised to fish in the FAD fishery are frozen (130 vessels). Following this management plan, the activities of these vessels are monitored by means of tracking system and catch logbook. Moreover, the management plan stated that the DFA will continue to enhance data collection and research on the stock.

The three management plans are currently under revision.

#### ***A.4. Statement of Effort Reduction Schemes***

There were no exits from the fleet by effort reduction schemes during 2019.

#### ***A.5. Impact on Fishing Capacity of Effort Reduction Schemes***

Not applicable since there were no exits from the fleet by effort reduction schemes during 2019.

#### ***A.6. Statement of Compliance with Entry/Exit Scheme and with Level of Reference***

Malta's ceiling for fishing capacity as per the latest amendment of (EU 1380/2013) is 14,965 GT and 95,776 kW. The total capacity of the fleet expressed in terms of either tonnage or power cannot, and for 2019 did not exceed the reference levels. The levels for 2019 were 6,417 GT and 70,796 KW respectively.

Malta bases its entry/exit mechanism on Commission Implementation Regulation (EU) 2017/218 on the Union fishing fleet register. In fact, it strictly ensures that the fishing capacity is kept within the limits as set by the European Union. Malta has complied with Commission Implementation Regulation (EU) 2017/218 and has successfully uploaded fleet register data into the FIDES – FRONT system in line with the same Regulation. As illustrated in the Fleet Register on the Net website, the GT and power of the Maltese Fishing Fleet in 2019 have been kept below the respective ceilings. Correction of data in tonnage and power is an ongoing exercise. The Maltese authorities are screening each registered vessel to ensure that the reported capacity data is in fact authentic. Following this, random control checks shall continue to ensure the correctness and integrity of the reported data.

#### ***A.7. Summary of Weaknesses and Strengths of Fleet Management System***

### *Strengths:*

- Fishing vessel licences are issued on an annual basis from the Fishing Vessel Register Office which maintains an electronic database including also electronic data on all registered vessels. Thus such database, besides being annually updated in this regard, is also being maintained on a daily basis with logging in the various changes that are continuously being requested by vessel owners;
- Snapshots of the fleet register submitted to the European Commission every quarter are generated from the said database in an automatic manner. In addition, prior to issuing an operative licence, registration in the national Maritime Register (applicable to vessels of 6m and over) is ensured;
- Fishing vessel owners are obliged to request the approval of the DFA prior to making any structural changes to the vessel in order to control the GT and power levels within the Maltese fishing fleet register;
- Monitoring of the landings of individual vessels is conducted in order to ensure that the catch value thresholds specified for each category and length class as detailed in the Fishing Vessels Regulations (Subsidiary Legislation 425.07) are followed. These regulations aim to maximise utilisation of fishing capacity. As the greater part of the fishing fleet are below the length required to have a logbook (i.e. below 10m LOA), the DFA, as part of a pilot project, has installed an Automated Weighing and Labelling (AWL) system in the major of homeports. Apart from meeting the statutory traceability requirements that all catches placed on the market are to be labelled, the system automatically generates the necessary landing and takeover declarations. Consequently, through this system, the DFA is now receiving the data of catches that are landed, thus providing a clearer picture for the eventual evaluation of the sustainability of the fleet.

### *Weaknesses:*

- Entries into the professional part of the fleet are restricted as openings of the Maltese Register are uncommon;
- Data on the activities of the Maltese fleet has only been recorded since 2005, while detailed records are available in electronic format as from 2013 onwards;
- The existing Fisheries Information System (FIS) database does not perform automatic cross-validation of data. Presently this is still being tackled manually but it is planned to be developed in the near future.
- Few of the small-scale vessels (<12 m LOA) are not utilising the licence. These may result in being inactive since there is no data on their activity.

## ***A.8. Plan for Improvements in Fleet Management System***

The reporting of fishing activities in Malta to the EU, MSs, and other organisations were always sent with the second options available and not through the normal channels as required by DG MARE.

The requirements for the fishing activities reporting to be as requested by EU, DG MARE are being analysed in order to evaluate what systems are required for the reporting to be through these channels, currently FLUX TL. Tenders will be issued in order to implement the required reporting systems that will bring Malta in line with current EU reporting regulations.

## ***A.9. Information on General Level of Compliance with Fleet Policy Instruments***

The DFA ensures that the fleet policy is complied with. In view of this, the vessel marking requirements and vessel engine specifications falling under the remit of Council Regulations (EC) 1224/2009 and (EU) No

1380/2013 are complied with, as well as the standards for the Vessel Registry established by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/218 of 6 February 2017 on the Union fishing fleet register.

The fleet register provides information on all vessels along with the description of changes that have occurred in their lifetimes since the creation of the Fleet Register. All this information is kept even after the vessel stops fishing.

In view of this, the data contained in the Fleet Register consists of:

- Administrative identifications: such as name, port, external marking and International Radio Call Signs (IRCS).
- Technical characteristics: such as length, tonnage, power and fishing gear.
- Historical events: entry into and exit from the fleet, modifications of characteristics.
- Information about the owner: agent and owner's name and address.

### ***A.10. Information on Changes of the Administrative Procedures Relevant to Fleet Management***

In the recent years, the Maltese authorities have embarked on a very important plan involving the development of an Electronic and Reporting System. The main aim has been to improve on the existing components that were operating in isolation, by creating a single integrated structure that caters for all the fisheries control operations. Essentially, the system as being projected signifies greatly to fleet management as it has been custom built around the rules of the Common Fisheries Policy. In fact, it will include data validation systems, including systems of cross-checks of vessel monitoring systems, catch, effort and market data and data related to the Community fishing fleet register as well as the accurate issuing of licences and fishing authorisations. Other than this it has also permitted the expansion on certain fleet management items which could not be built in the previous limited system. These include a new format of the fishing licence which now includes more details on the vessel and the fishing gears that can be used. Of comparable importance is the generation and issuing of special authorisations which was not possible under the previous system. Furthermore, the present system can be easily expanded or modified by the developer on request of the authority, in order to take into account new needs and requirements. In fact, now that the system has been set up, users are identifying improvements and additions, so that the system incorporates the entire business process.

## **A.11. Technical indicators**

The technical indicators, also described as the 'Vessel Use Indicators', describe how intensively the vessels in a fleet segment are being utilised. The vessel use indicators were calculated following guidelines stipulated the 2014 Balance Indicator Guidelines (COM 2014, 545 Final).

As per STECF 19-13, assessment of economic and technical indicators for small scale fleet segments is challenging. Economic indicators are generally calculated based on the assumption that fishing is the main economic activity of the fleet segments being assessed. This is often not the case for small-scale fishing fleets where fishing is often only a supplementary source of income. It is important to highlight that as from 2017 data, clustering was introduced for data confidentiality reasons, as described in Annex II. Moreover, especially for the small-scale vessels, the composition of fleet segments is always changing due to the registered gears and the 'dominance criteria' (listed in Commission Decision 2008/949/EC; Annex I, section A2.2), so there are inherent inconsistencies even when not considering clusters.

### **A.11.1. Inactive Fleet Indicator**

The inactive fleet indicator is a measurement of the proportion of vessels that did not show any activity in 2019. Table 4 shows the proportion of inactive vessels of the total fleet with respect to number of vessels, GT and kW, for the Maltese fleet in 2019. There are no length-classes which exceed the 20% threshold as per the 2014 Balance Indicator Guidelines (COM 2014, 545 Final).

**Table 4 The inactive fleet indicator by number of vessels, GT and kW in 2019 with respect to the overall fleet.**

<b>Length Class</b>	<b>% Number of fleet</b>	<b>% GT of fleet</b>	<b>% kW of fleet</b>
VL0006	14.04	2.14	5.77
VL0612	0.10	5.29	12.30
VL1218	~0.00	0.53	0.51
VL1824	0.01	6.85	2.37
VL2440	~0.00	4.33	1.33

In 2019, five vessel length segments had inactive vessels (VL0006, VL0612, VL1218, VL1824 and VL2440). The total inactive Maltese vessels account for 14.1% of the total number of vessels, 19.13% of the total GT and 22.3% of the total kW.

EWG 19-13 notes that the inactive fleet indicators (by vessel numbers, GTs and kW) estimated by length class do not provide appropriate measures of the inactivity level within the length class or each length class inactivity is measured as the percentage of the entire fleet rather than the percentage of inactivity within the length class. The current method allows identification of the length class that contributes most to the overall fleet inactivity. However, this method masks the level of inactivity within the length class.

STECF 19-13 thus put forward an alternative and putatively more appropriate measure of the inactivity level within a length class can be obtained by dividing the number of inactive vessels in the class by the total number of vessels in the same length class.

Table 5 shows the inactive fleet indicator calculated as recommended by STECF 19-13.

**Table 5 Inactive fleet indicator by number of vessels, GT and kW in 2019 with respect to the length class, as suggested by STECF 19-13**

Length Class	% Number of length class	% GT of length class	% kW of length class
VL0006	27.9	28.6	28.4
VL0612	23.7	21.4	22.8
VL1218	14.3	11.5	16.4
VL1824	0.2	21.4	16.0
VL2440	13.3	13.9	16.8

As repeatedly stressed by the STECF EWG, including EWG 18-14, especially in fleet segments with under 10m LOA vessels (small-scale coastal fleets), many vessels are only used part-time and fishing is often not the only source of income. This is particularly the case in the Mediterranean, where the high 'inactivity' may be due to various reasons: many small vessels only operate on a seasonal basis; fishers may own several boats, some of which are used as stand-by vessels for various reasons.

Therefore, this indicator needs to be treated with care, in particular the case for small-scale fleet segments, and does not necessarily indicate that these fleet segments are not in balance. In fact, Maltese fishers frequently own more than a single vessel, and in several cases one boat may be used during a given year whilst the other is kept inactive.

Table 6, Table 7 and Table 8 show the inactive fleet indicator computed by STECF 19-13, based on the data submitted by Malta under the 2019 DCF Economic data call, covering the time-series 2010-2018. Values greater than 20% are highlighted in red (as according to the 2014 Balance Indicator Guidelines). The trend analysed for the period 2012-2017, using the slope equation and a 5% threshold to indicate significance<sup>2</sup> indicate that for there is no trend in terms of number of vessels, but an increasing trend in terms of gross tonnage and engine power.

**Table 6 Analysis of the inactive fleet indicator (% number of inactive vessels) as per STECF 19-13. Values > 20% highlighted red (as according to the 2014 Balance Indicator Guidelines).**

Length Class	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Trend (5%) 2012/17	Status 2017*
VL0006	26.8	16.7	12.9	24.7	14.4	15.2	16.7	12.7	15	14.6	11.4	decreasing	in balance
VL0612	17.6	11.3	9.08	15.4	10	9.13	13.2	9.91	11.5	10.7	9.17	increasing	in balance
VL1218	1.52	1.44	1.53	1.01	1.04	0.38	0.96	0.96	0.49	0.32	0.43	decreasing	in balance
VL1824	0.46	0.72	0.36	0.28	0.28	0.58	0.77	0.67	0.39	0.53	0.96	no trend	in balance
VL2440	0.3	0.36	0.36	0.28	0.47	0.29	0.57	0.58	0.49	0.53	0.43	no trend	in balance
VL40XX			0.09	0.09								-	
	46.6	30.5	24.3	41.7	26.2	25.6	32.2	24.8	27.9	26.6	22.4	no trend	out of balance

<sup>2</sup> Slope > 0.5 increasing; slope < -0.5 decreasing; -0.5 < slope < 0.5 no significant trend and slope = 0 flat/null trend

**Table 7 Analysis of the inactive fleet indicator (% number of inactive GT) as per STECF 19-13. Values > 20% highlighted red (as according to the 2014 Balance Indicator Guidelines).**

Length Class	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Trend (5%) 2012/17	Status 2017*
VL0006	4.76	2.25	1.17	2.21	1.98	1.86	2.3	1.84	2.18	2.25	1.76	increasing	in balance
VL0612	10.8	5.38	2.9	4.61	5.21	4.84	6.65	5.29	5.71	5.71	4.96	increasing	in balance
VL1218	4.96	4.07	2.63	1.82	3.25	0.97	2.68	2.54	1.31	0.71	1.06	decreasing	in balance
VL1824	3.93	9.22	2.82	2.26	3.2	6.14	9.83	8.56	5.63	6.09	9.29	increasing	in balance
VL2440	8.03	10.2	6.17	3.99	11.2	5.89	12	13.5	12.5	9.87	7.44	increasing	in balance
VL40XX			31.4	31.9								-	
	32.5	31.2	47.1	46.8	24.8	19.7	33.5	31.7	27.3	24.6	24.5	increasing	out of balance

**Table 8 Analysis of the inactive fleet indicator (% number of inactive kW) as per STECF 19-13. Values > 20% highlighted red (as according to the 2014 Balance Indicator Guidelines).**

Length Class	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Trend (5%) 2012/17	Status 2017*
VL0006	9.85	5.95	3.89	9.08	4.54	5.36	5.96	4.19	4.96	5.77	4.47	increasing	in balance
VL0612	20.4	13.2	9.17	16.1	11.4	10.1	15.2	10.9	13.2	13.5	10.7	increasing	in balance
VL1218	3.29	2.82	3.07	2.01	2.05	1.18	2.25	2.27	1.18	0.59	1.03	decreasing	in balance
VL1824	1.92	4.58	1.76	1.18	1.53	2.88	3.4	2.9	1.92	2.49	3.62	no trend	in balance
VL2440	1.98	1.98	2.88	1.6	2.56	1.45	3.11	3.9	3.73	3.31	2.83	increasing	in balance
VL40XX			3.8	3.89								-	
	37.4	28.6	24.6	33.8	22.1	21	29.9	24.2	25	25.7	22.6	increasing	out of balance

## A.11.2. Vessel Utilisation Indicator

The Vessel Utilisation Indicator, also known as the Vessel Utilisation Ratio (VUR) concerns the average activity levels of vessels that did fish least once in the year, taking account of the seasonality of the fishery and other restrictions. The VUR is calculated as average days at sea divided by the maximum number of days at sea per fleet segment. The maximum number of days at sea was provided in the 2020 data call for economic and social datasets on the EU fishing fleet.

Table 9 shows the technical indicator per fleet segment for 2014-2019.

**Table 9 Summary of the observed technical indicator for the Maltese fishing fleet for 2014-2019.**

Fishing Technique	Length Class	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
DFN	VL0006	0.4	0.65	0.6			
DFN	VL0612	0.75	1	1			
DTS	VL1824	0.4	0.5	0.6			
DTS	VL2440	0.7	0.7	0.6	1	1	1
FPO	VL0006	1	1	1			
FPO	VL0612	1					
HOK	VL0006	0.5	0.5	0.4			
HOK	VL0612	0.3	0.35	0.35			
HOK	VL1218	0.8	0.6	0.6	1	1	1
HOK	VL1824	0.4	0.6	0.5	1	1	1
MGO	VL0612	0.5	0.4	0.3	1	1	1
MGO	VL1218	0.7	0.8	0.7			
MGO	VL1824	1	0.6	1	1	1	1
PGP	VL0006	0.2	0.1	0.05	0.2	0.2	0.2
PGP	VL0612	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.4	0.3
PMP	VL0006	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
PMP	VL0612	0.3	0.15	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
PMP	VL1824			1			
PS	VL0612		1				
PS	VL1218	1	0.9	0.4			
PS	VL1824	1	1	1	1	1	1
PS	VL2440	0.7	0.6	0.6			
TM	VL2440	1					

The results show that in 2019 there is a possibility that the professional Maltese fleet is overall in balance. Nonetheless, there are four segments out of 10 active fleet segments which may be operating in a technically inefficient manner. The imbalanced sectors consisted of the small-scale segments: PMP and PGP for vessel length classes VL0006 and VL0612.

This means that there is a relatively low level of homogeneity between the effort exerted within the segment. Vessels less than 10 m LOA are subject to complementary data collection consisting of a probability sampling survey, whilst vessels larger than 10 m LOA are subject to data collection as mandated by the Control Regulation. Therefore, the low indicator values for the small-scale segments may be due to the methodology used to collect the data.

In addition, STECF 19-13 states that in some MSs, vessel use within fleet segments is not homogenous because only parts of the fleet are fishing full time for various reasons (e.g. fleet segments include a proportion of part-time fishers; older vessels being inactive during periods of maintenance or repair, breaks imposed on parts of fleet segments due to management measures with some vessels compensating by targeting other stocks and others remaining inactive). All the aforementioned reasons are applicable to Malta.

Therefore, as pointed out by STECF 16-09, technical indicators always be interpreted with caution, and that local expert knowledge is generally required to accurately interpret indicator results/trends. This is in particular the case for small-scale fleet segments.

Furthermore, the findings of the STECF in its most recent 'Balance/Capacity' report (STECF 19-13) stresses again that especially in fleet segments with under 10 m vessels (small-scale coastal fleets), many vessels are only used part time and fishing is often not the only source of income. Therefore, this indicator needs to be treated with care and does not necessarily indicate that these fleet segments are not in balance.

As for the inactive fleet indicator, STECF 19-13 reiterated findings from EWG 18-14, stating that for the VUR indicator, the small-scale fleet should be treated differently due to the fact that many fishers are only working part-time or fishing is only one source of income.

On the other hand, the high level of homogeneity in activity within a fleet segment (value approx. 1) may be due to the low number of vessels in that particular fleet segment. Although the maximum days at sea is not a mandatory variable under the current fleet economic data call, Malta submits this variable annually in its data call. The maximum days at sea is based on the average of the days at sea of the top 10 most active vessels in that particular fleet segment; this means that when a fleet segment consists of approximately 10 vessels or less, the VUI indicator will always have a value of one.

Table 10 shows the vessel utilisation ratio calculated by STECF 19-13. The trend analysed for the period 2012-2017, using the slope equation and a 5% threshold to indicate significance<sup>3</sup> indicate that there is no trend for HOK VL 1218, HOK VL1824 and MGO VL0612, whilst a decreasing trend is observed for PMP VL0006 and PMP VL0612. The results indicate that, based on the 2014 guidelines, the status of most of the Maltese fleet segments are in balance.

---

<sup>3</sup> Slope > 0.5 increasing; slope < -0.5 decreasing; -0.5 < slope < 0.5 no significant trend and slope = 0 flat/null trend



**Table 10 Summary of the Vessel Utilisation Ratio as per STECF 19-13.**

Fishing Technique	Length Class	Utilisation ratio							Trend (5%) 2012/17	Status 2017*
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018			
DFN	VL0006	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				-	
DFN	VL0612	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				-	
DTS	VL1824	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0				-	
DTS	VL2440	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.0				-	
DTS	VL2440					1.0	1.0		-	in balance
FPO	VL0006	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				-	
FPO	VL0612	1.0	1.0						-	
HOK	VL0006	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				-	
HOK	VL0612	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.6				-	
HOK	VL1218	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		no trend	in balance
HOK	VL1824	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		no trend	in balance
MGO	VL0612	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		no trend	in balance
MGO	VL1218		1.2	1.0	1.0				-	
MGO	VL1824		0.2	1.0	1.0				-	
MGO	VL1824					1.0	1.0		-	in balance
PGP	VL0006	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				-	
PGP	VL0612	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				-	
PGP	VL0612					0.6	0.4		-	out of balance
PGP	VL1218								-	
PGP	VL1824								-	
PMP	VL0006	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2		decreasing	out of balance
PMP	VL0612	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.2		decreasing	out of balance
PMP	VL1218				1.0				-	
PMP	VL1824	0.7			1.0				-	
PS	VL0612			1.0					-	
PS	VL1218		1.7	1.0	1.0				-	
PS	VL1824		1.3	1.0	1.0				-	
PS	VL1824					1.0	1.0		-	in balance
PS	VL2440	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.0				-	
TM	VL2440	1.0							-	

## A.12. Biological indicators

### A.12.3. Sustainable Harvest Indicator

Malta referred to stock assessments carried out by ICCAT, STECF and GFCM based on data from 2012-2017 when analysing this indicator. The most recent GFCM stock assessments refer to reporting year 2018. On the other hand, the preliminary results of the GFCM Working Group on Stock Assessment of Demersal Species (WGSAD) stock assessments carried out in the last quarter of 2019 will be presented and validated in the Scientific Advisory Committee (SAC) of the GFCM in 2020.

Table 11 shows the SHI indicator as per STECF 19-13. The SHI indicator values are available for 10 fleet segments. The trend analysed for the period 2012-2017, using the slope equation and a 5% threshold to indicate significance.

**Table 11 The results of the SHI biological indicator as per STECF 19-13.**

Fishing Technique	Length Class	SHI											Trend (5%) 2012/17	Status 2017	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018			
DFN	VL0006		1.85				1.43	0.70	1.22	1.17				-	
DFN	VL0612					4.28	0.51	0.70	1.22	4.50				-	
DFN	VL1218				3.67									-	
DTS	VL1824	1.20	2.14	1.54	1.89	2.17	2.21	2.21	2.97	2.46				-	
DTS	VL2440	1.15	2.34	1.87	2.16	2.92	1.85	2.32	2.40	2.82				-	
DTS	VL2440										2.41	2.04		-	
FPO	VL0006		4.95					0.70		1.17				-	
FPO	VL0612		1.85											-	
HOK	VL0006	1.86	1.87	5.49	1.87			4.12	1.22	1.17				-	
HOK	VL0612	1.04	1.07	1.52	1.62	1.49	1.56	1.49	1.63	1.54				-	
HOK	VL1218	0.97	1.10	1.34	1.26	1.22	1.45	1.28	1.55	1.30	1.09	1.22	no trend	out of balance	
HOK	VL1824	1.62	1.40	1.43	1.65	1.47	1.72	1.61	1.69	1.76	1.58	1.76	no trend	out of balance	
HOK	VL2440	1.85	1.57	1.85	1.83	1.78								-	
MGO	VL0612	1.85	2.01	1.09	1.25	1.85	1.11	1.09	1.17	1.84	0.55	1.16	decreasing		
MGO	VL1218	0.80	0.64	0.96	1.95	0.67	1.79	1.09	1.85	1.03				-	
MGO	VL1824				1.19	1.17			1.85					-	
MGO	VL1824										0.57	1.31		-	
PGP	VL0006	1.80	1.88	1.93	1.89	1.96	1.86	2.58	2.79	2.61				-	
PGP	VL0006										2.07	1.17		-	
PGP	VL0612	1.78	1.49	1.88	1.84	1.87	1.90	1.83	1.78	1.48				-	
PGP	VL0612										1.39	1.36		-	out of balance
PMP	VL0006	2.17	2.23				1.85	0.70	1.22	1.82	1.85	1.85	increasing		
PMP	VL0612	1.84	1.68	1.94	1.93	1.68	1.65	1.80	1.87	1.37	1.26	1.24	decreasing		
PMP	VL1218	0.83			0.34		1.18			1.85				-	
PMP	VL1824		1.88				1.37			1.86				-	
PMP	VL2440				0.34									-	
PS	VL1218									1.85				-	

PS	VL1824				0.35	0.70	-	in balance
PS	VL2440	0.34	0.34		0.36	0.34	0.34	-
TM	VL2440				3.68			-

According to the criteria in the 2014 Balance Indicator Guidelines, the SHI indicator values for 6 fleet segments cannot be used meaningfully to assess the balance or imbalance because the indicator values are based on stocks that comprise less than 40% of the total value of landings by those fleet segments (Table 12).

STECF notes that for the four fleet segments for which SHI indicator may be considered meaningful to assess balance or imbalance, the trend for the period 2012/2017 shows that there are two fleet segments showing no trend (HOK VL1218 and HOK VL1824), one fleet segment which shows an increasing trend (PMP VL0006) and two fleet segments which show a decreasing trend (MGO VL0612 and PMP VL0612). The 2017 status as per COM guidelines indicate that the PS VL1824 fleet segment is in balance, whilst the HOK VL1218, HOK VL1824 and PGP VL0612 fleet segments are out of balance.

**Table 12 The percentage coverage by fleet segment by the Maltese fishing fleet in 2017 as per STECF 19-13.**

Fishing technique	Length class	SHI	Percentage coverage	Major stocks
HOK	VL1218	1.09	53.04	Atlantic bluefin tuna-bft-ea/assessed Swordfish-swo-med/assessed Atlantic mackerel-sa 15/no information Common dolphinfish-sa 13/no information Red scorpionfish-sa 14/no information Red porgy-sa 21/no information Common dolphinfish-sa 15/no information European hake-hke- gsa12_13_14_15_16/assessed
HOK	VL1824	1.58	47.54	Swordfish-swo-med/assessed Swordfish-sa 4/no information Silver scabbardfish-sa 21/no information Atlantic bluefin tuna-bft-ea/assessed
PGP	VL0612	1.39	52.66	Swordfish-swo-med/assessed Atlantic bluefin tuna-bft-ea/assessed Common dolphinfish-sa 15/no information Axillary seabream-sa 15/no information Silver scabbardfish-sa 15/no information Common octopus-sa 15/no information Red porgy-sa 15/no information Red scorpionfish-sa 15/no information

In 2018, the stock assessment for red mullet (*Mullus barbatus*) in GSA 15 and joint stock assessments for European hake (*Merluccius merluccius*) and deep-water rose shrimp (*Parapenaeus longirostris*) in combined GSAs 12-16, were updated by Maltese, Tunisian and Italian scientists (Table 13) using reference years 2007-2017. The stock assessments were conducted under the auspices of the MedSudMed project and finalised at the 2018 GFCM WGSAD. The biological reference points used were  $F_{current}/F_{0.1}$ .

**Table 13 The overfishing index ( $F_{current}/F_{0.1}$ ) of hake, deep-water rose shrimp and red mullet in the Strait of Sicily per GSA area as per GFCM Stock Assessment Forms.**

Species	GSA	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Hake	12-16	Overfishing (3.6)	Overfishing (5.8)	Overfishing (4.5)	Overfishing (4.9)	Overfishing (1.66)	Overfishing (3.6)	Overfishing (4.55)
Deep-water	12-16	Overfishing (1.3)	Overfishing (1.8)	Overfishing (1.3)	Overfishing (1.3)	Overfishing (1.44)	Overfishing (1.63)	Overfishing (1.51-1.69)

rose shrimp								
Red mullet	15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Overfishing (1.114)

The assessments showed that hake was in high overfishing status with relative low spawning stock biomass. The stock status of deep-water rose shrimp was assessed as high overfishing or intermediate overfishing with relative low biomass. The stock of red mullet was assessed as in low overfishing with relative low biomass.

Using the annual catches data from countries exploiting the assessed shared stocks in the MedSudMed area, the contribution of the different operational units to the total catches are as follows (based on GFCM SAC Stock Assessment Form 2018):

*P. longirostris*: 67.9% Italy; 32.0% Tunisia; 0.1% Malta

*M. merluccius*: 37.8% Italy; 61.7% Tunisia; 0.5% Malta

It is important to note that Malta's contributions to the catches of these stocks is insignificant and even if trawling by the Maltese fleet had to be banned, this would be to no benefit to the stock.

Furthermore, the Maltese fleet in terms of number of vessels has been reduced since 2011 as indicated in Table 14.

**Table 14 The reduction in capacity of the Maltese bottom otter trawling fleet from 2011.**

	Number of vessels	kW	GT
Fleet at 2011	23	9501	3351
Permanent reduction	7	2518	779
Temporary reduction	2	779	292
Fleet at 2018	14	6203	2281
Reduction from 2011	39%	35%	32%

Since a reduction of 20% in fishing mortality to approach  $F_{MSY}$  for shrimps, when the overfishing index was 1.3 in 2014, the same reduction would have been suggested with regards to earlier years (e.g. 2011 and 2013), since the overfishing index remained constant. This reduction applied earlier, would not have only the same effects, but should have better results as it would have been applied during earlier years. Thus, Malta's reduction in fleet capacity since 2014 should be taken into consideration.

#### **A.12.4. Stocks-At-Risk Indicator**

The Maltese fleet, in the period 2012-2018, did not exploit any stocks at high biological risk as defined by the 2014 indicator guidelines (COM (2014) 545 Final). This is because:

- a) For the limited number of assessed stocks harvested by the Maltese fleet,  $B_{lim}$  is not available;
- b) No stocks harvested by the Maltese fleet are subject to an advice to close the fishery, to prohibit directed fisheries, to reduce the fishery to the lowest possible level, or similar advice from an international advisory body, even where such advice is given on a data-limited basis;
- c) No stock is subject to fishing opportunities regulation which stipulates that the fish should be returned to the sea unharmed or that landings are prohibited; and
- d) The Maltese fleet does not harvest stocks which are on the IUCN “red list” or is listed by CITES.

And for which either:

Specifically, STECF 19-13 highlights that criterion ‘a’ specified for the identification of stocks at risk in the 2014 Balance Indicator guidelines was generally not applicable for most of the stocks in Mediterranean, since these stocks lack  $B_{lim}$  estimates.

As highlighted in STECF 16-18 report, and again STECF 19-03, currently only landings from EU fleets are used to calculate whether the landings of a certain fleet segment comprise more than 10% of the overall landings. The impact of EU fleets on stocks that are shared with non-EU countries may therefore be overestimated.

STECF-19-13 also concluded that SAR indicator is not available for Malta for 2012-2017 (based on conclusion of STECF 18-14).

## A.13. Economic indicators

### A.13.1. Return on Investment (ROI) vs Next Best Alternative

For the purpose of evaluating whether the fleet segments are economically sustainable in the long term and in the short term, two indicators are evaluated. To calculate these two indicators, the fleet was segmented by fishing technique. This is due to the fact that the same data which was made available for the EU Data Collection Programme (Commission Regulation (EC) 1639/2001, Commission Regulation (EC) 1581/2004 and Commission Decision (EU) 93/2010) was reused for the purpose of this exercise.

The first indicator (Return on Investment [ROI]) was selected over the Return on Fixed Tangible Assets (ROFTA) since data on intangible assets and tangible assets are both available. Data on direct income subsidies was excluded in order to be in line with the latest guidelines. ROI was compared to the low risk long term investment. For the purpose of the calculation of this indicator, net profit is considered to be equal to the sum of income from landings and other income; less crew costs, unpaid labour, energy costs, repair and maintenance costs, other variable costs, non-variable costs, and depreciation. Capital asset value is equal to the sum of the vessel replacement value, which was obtained using the Perpetual Inventory Method (PIM), and estimated value of fishing rights. Digressive depreciation was used for the purpose of the calculation of this indicator in order to be in line with the recent advise from PGECON (16<sup>th</sup>-19<sup>th</sup> April 2012, Salerno, Italy). The ROI for the previous years was also calculated in line with the latest guidelines, as shown in Table 15.

**Table 15 Return on Investment (ROI) vs next best alternative**

*Low risk long term interest rate of 4.57% was used for the year 2008*

*Low risk long term interest rate of 4.62% was used for the year 2009*

*Low risk long term interest rate of 4.59% was used for the year 2010*

*Low risk long term interest rate of 4.52% was used for the year 2011*

*Low risk long term interest rate of 4.55% was used for the year 2012*

*Low risk long term interest rate of 4.43% was used for the year 2013*

*Low risk long term interest rate of 4.14% was used for the year 2014*

*Low risk long term interest rate of 3.76% was used for the year 2015*

*Low risk long term interest rate of 3.22% was used for the year 2016*

*Low risk long term interest rate of 2.49% was used for the year 2017*

*Low risk long term interest rate of 1.93% was used for the year 2018*

Fishing Technique	Length Class	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
DFN	VL0006	- 23.85 %	- 66.72 %	- 43.04 %	-	- 103.38 %	- 21.26 %	- 65.37 %	- 42.55 %	- 19.2 5%		
DFN	VL0612	-8.26%	- 33.23 %	- 5.25 %	-	- 40.18 %	8.28 %	- 24.56 %	- 23.51 %	- 11.8 0%		
DFN	VL1218				- 23.31 %	- 16.93 %						
DTS	VL1824	- 16.28 %	-9.21%	- 17.58 %	- 1.48 %	-6.40%	- 6.59 %	- 3.90 %	-2.76%	- 5.73 %		
DTS	VL2440	- 23.94 %	-9.00%	- 12.92 %	- 10.68 %	- 11.53 %	- 16.23 %	- 15.00 %	-9.69%	- 16.5 5%	- 10.9 7%	- 4.88 %
FPO	VL0006		- 132.34 %		- 86.86 %		- 10.72 %	- 71.28 %	60.02 %	- 4.57 %		

Fishing Technique	Length Class	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
FPO	VL0612	- 16.80 %	- 71.15 %				- 28.26 %	- 47.55 %				
HOK	VL0006	- 49.76 %	- 90.82 %	- 51.57 %	- 56.92 %	- 423.19 %	- 4.92 %	- 24.89 %	- 13.66 %	- 25.2 0%		
HOK	VL0612	- 25.58 %	- 42.89 %	- 29.11 %	- 33.83 %	3.65%	9.14 %	- 30.34 %	-4.13%	- 21.3 2%		
HOK	VL1218	-2.55%	-8.17%	- 14.80 %	- 17.85 %	-0.57%	8.01 %	4.35 %	4.87%	14.8 7%	1.07 %	- 5.56 %
HOK	VL1824	-8.79%	- 21.36 %	- 13.84 %	- 15.05 %	-2.20%	- 4.58 %	2.51 %	-4.19%	- 11.0 9%	27.7 6%	- 0.72 %
HOK	VL2440	-8.27%	-4.29%	- 38.39 %	- 23.12 %	- 10.13 %						
MGO	VL0006		- 67.61 %		- 64.89 %							
MGO	VL0612	-4.44%	- 42.89 %	- 30.80 %	- 34.17 %	-9.07%	- 2.24 %	- 18.50 %	- 12.77 %	0.36 %	- 22.8 2%	6.07 %
MGO	VL1218	-8.39%	-1.95%	- 9.30 %	- 14.44 %	-1.05%	10.54 %	- 19.54 %	-1.07%	12.3 1%		
MGO	VL1824			10.99 %	17.57 %	- 51.79 %		- 22.10 %	3.01%	28.0 1%	13.6 6%	18.9 5%
PGP	VL0006	- 44.38 %	- 103.47 %	- 57.15 %	- 18.65 %	- 11.32 %	- 5.14 %	- 31.67 %	- 13.27 %	- 21.4 7%	- 14.1 2%	- 25.2 5%
PGP	VL0612	- 34.77 %	- 53.84 %	- 29.51 %	- 30.74 %	- 12.00 %	10.46 %	- 13.19 %	- 17.11 %	- 26.5 0%	- 10.3 1%	- 12.1 6%
PMP	VL0006	610.18 %	1128.8 4%	- 32.47 %		- 55.42 %	- 1.07 %	- 54.76 %	- 19.28 %	- 49.2 4%	- 25.4 1%	- 82.2 8%
PMP	VL0612	39.28 %	- 35.62 %	- 31.26 %	- 61.00 %	- 10.87 %	- 10.02 %	- 43.31 %	- 19.72 %	- 24.2 2%	- 17.0 6%	- 3.82 %
PMP	VL1218	- 11.83 %			- 22.12 %		31.68 %			- 52.0 4%		
PMP	VL1824	- 10.85 %	-8.70%				8.04 %			- 10.9 0%		
PMP	VL2440											
PS	VL0612		- 47.06 %						- 118.19 %			
PS	VL1218		-9.28%	12.05 %	16.17 %		31.08 %	17.67 %	6.15%	11.9 8%		
PS	VL1824					0.66%		- 5.20 %	-5.40%	54.1 8%	72.2 1%	28.7 1%

Fishing Technique	Length Class	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
PS	VL2440	- 162.02 %	- 57.79 %			11.11 %	46.84 %	10.79 %	122.66 %	- 2.57 %		
TM	VL2440						- 23.93 %					

For reference year 2018, the fleet segments, other active gears (MGO) VL0612 and VL1824; and purse seiners (PS) VL1824 had a positive ROI greater than the low risk long term interest rate. This indicator is showing that for these four fleet segments, extra ordinary profits are being generated and it could also be a possibility of under-capitalisation.

The other segments had a negative ROI which indicate an economic over-capitalisation. However, it is important to note that the clustered segments demersal trawlers (DTS) VL2440; Gears using hooks (HOK) VL1218 and VL1824; and Combine mobile and Passive gears (PMP) VL0612, had enough income to cover all the operating costs since they generated a gross profit but did not generate enough income to cover the non-operating costs, hence reporting a net loss. The other fleet segments had a negative gross profit and net profit during the reference year in subject.

During 2017, the best performing fleet segments were vessels classified as Purse Seiners (PS) VL1824 and vessels using hooks (HOK) VL1218, followed by other active gears (MGO) VL1824, and vessels using hooks (HOK) VL1218. While the worst performing segment were vessels using combined mobile and passive gears (PMP) VL0006.

Overall, in 2018 the ROI trend of the entire fleet showed signs of slight decline when compared to the trend as the fleet's ROI indicator decrease slightly.

### **A.13.2. Current Revenue against Break-even Revenue Economic Indicator**

For the second indicator, the Current Revenue against Break-Even Revenue Economic Indicator was calculated for the years 2008-2018 (Table 16). For the purpose of the calculation of this indicator, fixed costs is considered to be equal to depreciation, non-variable costs and opportunity cost of capital while current revenue is equal to the income from landings, income from the lease of fishing right and other income. Direct income subsidies were excluded from this calculation. Variable costs included crew wages, unpaid labour, energy costs, repair and maintenance costs, expenditure from the leasing of fishing rights and other variable costs. The calculation of the ratio that indicates the long-term view of financial viability was selected over the calculation that gives the short-term view of financial viability. The reason being that all data for this calculation is available under the Data Collection Framework while the opportunity cost is calculated in the previous indicator. Income and expenditure from lease of fishing rights, is included in the calculation as stated above.



**Table 16 Current Revenue against Break-even Revenue Economic Indicator for 2008-2018. Indicators worked for reference year 2017 below the threshold indicated in the EC's guidelines are highlighted in bold.**

Fishing Technique	Length Class	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
DFN	VL0006	-0.6	-4.1	-2		-9	-0.9	-3.4	-1.8	-1		
DFN	VL0612	0.3	-1.7	0.6		-2	1.4	-1.2	-1.7	0		
DFN	VL1218				-1.4	-0.3						
DTS	VL1824	-0.5	0.3	-0.6	0.9	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.5		
DTS	VL2440	-1.7	0.1	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	-0.7	-0.4	0.1	-0.4	-0.11	0.48
FPO	VL0006		-10.1		-7		0	-5.5	8.3	0.6		
FPO	VL0612	-0.5	-5				-1.4	-3.4				
HOK	VL0006	-2.9	-6.6	-3.5	-3.8	-74.5	0.6	-1.3	-1.4	-1.1		
HOK	VL0612	-1.2	-2.8	-1.6	-2.2	-0.6	1.8	-1.8	0.9	-0.9		
HOK	VL1218	0.8	0.2	-0.6	-0.7	0.9	1.9	1.6	2.3	2.6	1.13	0.27
HOK	VL1824	0.2	-0.9	-0.3	-0.4	0.7	0.9	1.5	1	-0.1	3.81	0.91
HOK	VL2440	0.1	0.6	-2.2	-1.3	0.1						
MGO	VL0006		-5		-6.2							
MGO	VL0612	0.7	-2.6	-1.8	-2.1	0.2	1	-0.8	0.2	1	<b>-1.19</b>	1.52
MGO	VL1218	0.2	0.9	0.2	-0.5	0.9	2.5	-0.8	2.2	2.1		
MGO	VL1824			2.1	3	-4.3		-1.8	1.7	3.9	2.54	3.26
PGP	VL0006	-2.7	-8.1	-3.9	-0.6	0.1	0.6	-1.8	-0.1	-0.9	<b>-0.32</b>	-1.44
PGP	VL0612	-2	-3.8	-1.5	-1.7	0	2	-0.1	-0.5	-1.5	0.05	-0.30
PMP	VL0006	54.6	94.7	-1.7		-3.8	1	-4.2	-0.6	-3.7	<b>-1.75</b>	-7.93
PMP	VL0612	4.6	-2.1	-1.7	-4.1	0.1	0.1	-2.8	-0.6	-1.3	<b>-0.77</b>	0.58
PMP	VL1218	0			-1.3		4.1			-3.6		
PMP	VL1824	0.2	0.3				1.7			0		
PMP	VL2440				1.9							
PS	VL0612		-4						-12.5			
PS	VL1218		0.2	2.7	2.5		5.3	3.8	2.3	2.1		
PS	VL1824					1.2		0.6	0.6	7.1	9.27	4.09
PS	VL2440	-2.9	-3			2	5.3	0.4	1.6	0.8		
TM	VL2440						-1.5					

For reference year 2018, the fleet segments, other active gears (MGO) VL0612 and VL1824; and purse seiners (PS) VL1824 had a ratio greater than 1. This result shows that these fleet segments generated enough income to cover the variable, fixed and capital costs and hence these fleet segments are profitable, with potential under-capitalisation.

For reference year 2018, the fleet segments demersal trawlers (DTS) VL2440; Gears using hooks (HOK) VL1218 and VL1824; and Combine mobile and Passive gears (PMP) VL0612; had a ratio less than 1. This means that this fleet segment generated insufficient income to cover variable, fixed and capital costs. Hence these fleet segments are unprofitable, with a potential over-capitalisation. The other segments had a negative CR/BER result which means that variable costs alone exceed current revenue and hence they are in a loss-making position.

For the year 2018, the best performing fleet segment was purse seiners VL1824, amounting to 4.09. The worst performing fleet segment for 2018 is vessels using combined mobile and passive gears (PMP) VL0006 amounting to -7.93.

In the year 2018 the national fleet has been economically sufficient, in fact as a whole the CR/BER ratio of the fleet is above 1, this is derived by the fact that the majority of the segments have shown an indicator between 0 and above 1. During this reference year a number of segments have made improvements over the previous year, at the same time there have been segments which have shown deterioration in their economic performance. In 2018, out of 10 fleet segments three were balanced, four were unprofitable and the remaining three were in a loss-making position.

## ***A.14. Action Plan***

### **A.14.1. Background**

The Maltese authorities have made an analysis of the biological, technical and economical parameters for 2018 using data collected through a census of the entire fleet and information collected through landing declarations and sales notes. The results lead the Maltese authorities to the conclusion that the only meaningful indicator for the Maltese fleet is that for the Return on Investment (ROI) and Current Revenue vs Break Even Revenue (BER) given the absence of reliable information on the biological situations of stocks. In the current report the only segment that shows a negative trend and is considered as imbalanced is the PMP segment.

Based on this report, the Maltese authorities acknowledge that the segments which show a trend of being imbalanced over time may benefit from the application of an Action Plan as explained below.

### **A.14.2. Analysis of Trends**

Since Article 22 of the Common Fisheries Policy refers to balance (and imbalance) over time, it is appropriate to consider several years rather than a single year (COM (2014) 545 Final).

Following the adoption of clustered segments in 2017, the time-series trend analysis for clustered groups had been affected. For this year clustered segments were compared to the previous year to begin a trend analysis for these groups. For the fleet segments which have not been clustered, a trend analysis was still carried out (Table 17).

Although, the above economic indicators show that, in the year 2018, the majority of the clustered fleet segments are showing imbalanced economic indicators, the Maltese fleet, overall, has shown signs of improvement over the previous years, as imbalanced segments are showing positive improvements in their performance. These trends are summarized to the fleet segment level in table 19 below. Out of these segments, three segments were balanced, two of which had an improving economic performance trend, two other fleet segments showed an improving trend in their economic performance and economic indicators, and the remaining segments showed deteriorations across their development trend and economic indicators.

Table 17 Analysis of trends for all segments. A traffic light approach; green for balanced and improving fleet segments, yellow for fleet segments showing an improving trend and red for fleet segments which showed an overall deteriorating trend.

Fishing Technique	Length Class	Population following fleet segmentation	No of licenses according to the FVR as at 31.12.2017	Economic development trend (2008-2018)	ROI trend analysis- R	BER trend analysis- R	Conclusions
MGO	VL0612	16		Improved	Balanced indicator	Balanced indicator	Balanced. Trend analysed was that between 2017 and 2018 i.e. post-clustering
MGO*	VL1824	8		Improved	Balanced indicator	Balanced indicator	Balanced. Trend analysed was that between 2017 and 2018 i.e. post-clustering
PS*	VL1824	4		Slightly Deteriorated	Balanced indicator	Balanced indicator	Balanced. Trend analysed was that between 2017 and 2018 i.e. post-clustering
PMP	VL0612	135		Improved	Improved	Improved	Improvements in economic development trend and BER indicator, and ROI indicator.

Fishing Technique	Length Class	Population following fleet segmentation	No of licenses according to the FVR as at 31.12.2017	Economic development trend (2008-2018)	ROI trend analysis- R	BER trend analysis- R	Conclusions
DTS*	VL2440	12		Improved	Improved	Improved	Improvements in economic development trend and BER indicator, and ROI indicator. Trend analysed was that between 2017 and 2018 i.e. post-clustering
HOK	VL1218	10		Deteriorated	Deteriorated	Deteriorated	Showed deterioration in all indicators
HOK	VL1824	13		Deteriorated	Deteriorated	Deteriorated	Showed deterioration in all indicators
PMP	VL0006	22		Deteriorated	Deteriorated	Deteriorated	Showed deterioration in all indicators
PGP*	VL0006	344		Deteriorated	Deteriorated	Deteriorated	Showed deterioration in all indicators. Trend analysed was that between 2017 and 2018 i.e. post-clustering
PGP*	VL0612	164		Deteriorated	Deteriorated	Deteriorated	Showed deterioration in all indicators. Trend analysed was that between 2017 and 2018 i.e. post-clustering

### **A.14.3. Fishing Opportunities**

It is pertinent to note that the only fishing opportunities allocated to Malta in 2019 was for bluefin tuna and swordfish. These fishing opportunities are fixed through a Council Regulation issued every year in line with the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

### **A.14.4. Context**

In summary, depreciation costs are deemed “normal” whilst the replacement value, even for old hulls, is high. To overcome these values a higher income value needs to be inputted in the formula in order to obtain positive values for the ROI.

A negative ROI vs next best alternative indicator infers that the investment being made in the fishing enterprise would have been more profitable if invested elsewhere. This could be due to low activity of the vessel especially in the case of license holders who work in the fishing sector on a part-time basis and therefore do not depend entirely on their fishing activities to sustain them. Another possibility linked to a negative value is that the marine biological resources available are not able to sustain the fleet. This could either be due to the necessary biomass not being harvested at sustainable levels or that the market is not providing adequate returns requiring some type of intervention. With regard to the available biomass this could be addressed through closed areas and/or closed seasons which would have a positive impact on the stocks exploited especially if targeted to improve their spawning potential. Interventions on the market to improve the returns of the sector could include promotion of the fishery products or to incentivize the better organization of the sector to access more profitable markets.

Management measures under the Mediterranean Regulation, General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean (GFCM) and International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tuna (ICCAT) also contribute to achieving sustainable exploitation of stocks. In particular, the bluefin tuna fleet is in balance with the fishing opportunities allocated to it, whilst the fleets targeting swordfish fall within the segments having a positive ROI.


### **A.14.5. Link to Previous Actions and Rationale for a New Action Plan**

The new action plan was compiled by taking into consideration the trend analysis of the economic performance of the Maltese fishing fleet and the trend analysis of the two economic indicators for the years 2008-2017 (Table 18). This consideration is suggested in the 2014 guidelines (COM (2014) 545 Final), whereby it states that the Common Fisheries Policy refers to balance (and imbalance) *over time* rather than one single year. Hence Malta considered several years rather than a single year when compiled the action plan in Annex II of this report.


**Table 18 Summary of the difference between the status obtained for fleet segments and clustered fleet segments with regard to reference years 2017 and 2018 as well as the overall historical trend, based on the two economic indicators. ✓ indicates balance, ✖ indicates imbalance, ↑ shows an improving trend, while ↓ indicates a deteriorating trend. Rows shaded in grey indicate fleet segments showing imbalance during both 2017 and 2018 as well as a deteriorating historical trend.**

Fishing Technique	Length Class	2018	2017	Historical trend
HOK	VL1218	✖	✓	↓
HOK	VL1824	✖	✓	↓
PMP	VL0006	✖	✖	↓
PMP	VL0612	✖	✖	↑
MGO	VL0612	✓	✖	↑
PS VL1824*		✓	✓	↑
MGO VL1824*		✓	✓	↑
DTS VL2440*		✖	✖	↑
PGP VL0612*		✖	✖	↓
PGP VL0006*		✖	✖	↓

### BER indicator trends by Maltese Fisheries



### ROI indicator trends by Maltese Fisheries





## Annex I – Action Plan

Timeframe	Segments addressed	Measure	Action	Indicator
2017-2020	All vessels <12m	Monitoring of landings	Weighing of fishery products on the Automatic weighing and Labelling machines	All catches recorded
	All vessels <10m	Monitoring of activity	Sampling plan	All landings of vessels <10m monitored through sampling and sales notes
	Vessels ≥ 6m and <12m	Monitoring of activity	The vessels will be equipped with a monitoring system to detect fishing activity leading to better monitoring.	All fishing activity
	DFN	Conservation	Prohibition of fishing in bays and creeks from 15 February to 30 August with all types of nets.	Increase in biomass by 2020
	FPO	Conservation	Closed season for the months of April and May	Increase in biomass by 2020
Ongoing	Entire fleet	Analysis of the market to identify any structural deficiencies or market forces resulting in a low average price at first sale for fishery products	This analysis will be assisted by the implementation of an innovative traceability system being implemented at national level and co-funded by the EU covering primary production up to the first sale as it is expected to yield better information on the fishery products caught and marketed for the first time	Identification of measures to achieve better prices at first sale to help generate more income for the fishers

## Annex II – Documentation on Clustering

Clustering, or “fleet segment aggregation”, may be required when compiling the Fleet Economic Data Call. This methodology is recommended by several STECF working groups (e.g. EWG 13-28). The fact that indicator values are based on clustered fleet segments one year and un-clustered fleet segments another year creates inconsistencies. Clustering is currently based on thresholds that define which fleet segments are clustered. The number of vessels in a fleet segment can vary from year to year. If time-specific analyses are done, then ideally the fleet segment clusters should be consistent over time. In order to achieve consistent clustering of segments in future, it would be necessary for MS to present fleet segments clustered in the same groups for all years (even if the threshold is not reached for some years).

COMMISSION DECISION of 18 December 2009 (adopting a multiannual Community programme for the collection, management and use of data in the fisheries sector for the period 2011-2013) CHAPTER III – 4. In cases where a fleet segment is in the risk of breaching data confidentiality:

- (a) clustering may be necessary in order to design the sampling plan and to report economic variables;
- (b) Member States shall report which fleet segments have been grouped at the national level and shall justify the clustering on the basis of statistical analysis;
- (c) in their annual report, Member States shall report the number of sampled vessels for each fleet segment regardless of any clustering made to collect or provide the data;
- (d) Regional Coordination Meetings shall define homogeneous clustering methodology at the level of supra regions so that economic variables are comparable.

### ***Approach taken by Malta for the reference years 2017 and 2018***

Clustering was carried out according to the available guidelines, for confidentiality reasons only. Sampling is performed as per previous years, in line with Malta’s Work Plan for data collection in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors 2019 (Work Plan 2019). A probability sampling survey is carried out on vessels < 10m LOA, whilst logbooks are used to collect data for vessels > 10m LOA, in line with the Control Regulation (Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009). In case of a very low number of vessels in fleet segments HOK, FPO and DFN, data will be clustered together with the fishing technique PGP. Therefore, as STECF categorise vessels according to the 12m LOA threshold, the rules set for clustering is firstly dependent on the length class, whether it is under or over 12m LOA. As a precautionary approach, fleet segments will be clustered for confidentiality reasons, when the number of vessels is three or less, in line with the Eurostat definition of “confidential”.

### ***Bibliography***

- *Approach to clustering as recommended by SGECA 09-02 (Sub-group on research needs report 09-02 is available from: <https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/bda55143-6cea-4f23-b5b0-be0e90282428/language-en>)*
- “Clustering of fleet segments for the economic data call on the EU fishing fleet” is accessible from: [https://datacollection.jrc.ec.europa.eu/documents/10213/1235778/Clustering\\_2014.pdf](https://datacollection.jrc.ec.europa.eu/documents/10213/1235778/Clustering_2014.pdf)