

#### **FAME Support Unit**

Monitoring and Evaluation under the EMFF

# WORKSHOP ON THE EMFF COMMON RESULT INDICATORS

Main Messages

#### **Indicators traffic light**



Indicator Name	Clarifications	Reference Period	Baseline	Assumptions for target setting
Unwanted catches				
Fuel efficiency				
Unbalanced fleets				
Employment created (FTE), UP1				
Employment maintained (FTE), UP1				
Work-related injuries and accidents				
Marine Protected Areas, UP1				
Organic aquaculture				
Recirculation system				
Aquaculture farms providing environmental services				
Employment created (FTE), UP2				
Employment maintained (FTE) , UP2				
Employment created (FTE) , UP4				
Employment maintained (FTE) , UP4				
Business created, UP4				



#### Main Messages

There was brisk participation from the Member States (45 registrations, app. 40 participants) and a lot of good and constructive discussion. We will need a few days to screen all the inputs;

The importance of the result indicators was underlined; some of them work well but some others need further guidance. On the other hand, the Member States also ask for customised solutions;

It should be clearly understood that these are monitoring indicators; they cannot answer every evaluation question;



### **Main Messages**

It is important to emphasize the fact that a result indicator measures only the result of the EMFF operation, not the development of the whole sector;

This is an important implication for target setting; e.g. in unwanted catches, the impact of the Landing Obligation is much broader than the EMFF result. EMFF operations are e.g. about selective gear only;

Target setting can be in some cases normative or based on established methodologies, but sometimes an empirical approach is needed. This means that we must gather experience and then eventually revisit target values;



## Main Messages

Assumptions for target setting varied a lot; this led also to target values outliers that became internally apparent through aggregation for the Open Data Platform;

In some cases we have a target value of zero. This does not necessarily mean that there is no positive effect but that the indicator does not properly capture the effect in the Member States or that the Member State or the beneficiary has already achieved a high level.

Here the possibility of a Programme Specific Result Indicator should be discussed;